

BMSDC Grants - Communities

Mid Suffolk District Council

Catchment and Landscape Nature Recovery Grant – Mid Suffolk

Expression of Interest Scoring Matrix

Section 1 – For completion by Grants Officer

Grants Officer	
Project Description	
Applicant	
Parishes involved	
Postcode (PROJECT)	
Project/costs covered	
Amount requested	£
Estimated overall project cost	£
Estimated funding gap	£
Number of direct beneficiaries stated	
Is the cost per beneficiary a realistic estimate?	Yes/No
Is the organisation eligible for funding	Yes/No
If required, does the project have planning permission?	Yes/No
Security of tenure (3)	
Would funding request include pre-project elements?	
Required documents provided?	
Does the project cover multiple sites?	Yes/No

Is it an eligible project?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Supports nature recovery, water management and community benefits within Mid Suffolk• Organisations can apply for between £50,000 and £200,000	
Yes	
No	

Section 2 – For completion by all Officers

A – Stakeholders and Partners (2)	
It is unclear whether stakeholders and partners are involved, or who they are.	0

An indication of stakeholders and partners involved is provided along with some information on who they are.	4
The number of stakeholders and partners, along with who they are, is clearly set out.	8

B – Project – how well is the project designed/planned? (4)

A project is described with no or little detail. Significant questions are unanswered about how the project will be delivered or achieve its aims.	0
A cohesive project has been detailed with some questions remaining unanswered about how it will be delivered or achieve its aims.	4
A cohesive project has been detailed which clearly explains how it intends to meet its aims. The applicant makes clear how the project will meet its aims and how it will be delivered.	8

C – Community Need (5)

No/limited community need is articulated or addressed.	0
A clearly understood community need has been identified, articulated and addressed.	4
A clearly understood community need has been articulated, addressed, and evidenced through surveys, consultation or feedback provided.	8

D – Community Engagement (6)

No community engagement is proposed.	0
Community engagement is proposed as part of the project, but the process for this is unclear.	4
A detailed programme of community engagement is set out, including how this will help shape the project.	8

E – Community Benefit (7)

No/limited details of what benefits the project will deliver to communities are provided.	0
The applicant has provided a description of what benefits the project will deliver to communities, and where these communities are located.	4
The applicant has provided a comprehensive and detailed description of what benefits the project will deliver to communities, where delivery will occur, and a detailed breakdown of evidenced beneficiaries.	8

F – Nature Recovery Need (8)	
None/limited nature recovery need is articulated or addressed.	0
A clearly understood nature recovery need has been identified, articulated and addressed.	4
A clearly understood nature recovery need has been articulated, addressed, and evidenced, ideally through reference to the MSDC Biodiversity Action Plan and/or Suffolk Local Nature Recovery Strategy.	8

G – Nature Recovery Benefit (9)	
No/Limited details of what benefits the project will deliver to biodiversity or nature recovery.	0
The applicant has provided a description of what benefits the project will deliver to biodiversity and nature recovery, and some indication of where these benefits are to be provided.	4
The applicant has provided a comprehensive and detailed description of what benefits the project will deliver to biodiversity and nature recovery, and the scale across/locations in which these benefits will be delivered.	8

H – Water Management Need (10)	
None/limited water management need is articulated or addressed.	0
A clearly understood water management need has been identified, articulated and addressed.	4
A clearly understood water management need has been articulated, addressed, and evidenced through surveys, consultation, reports or modelling.	8

I – Water Management Benefit (11)	
No/Limited details of what water management benefits the project will deliver.	0
The applicant has provided a description of what water management benefits the project will deliver, and where these benefits will be delivered.	4
The applicant has provided a comprehensive and detailed description of what water management benefits the project will deliver, where they will be delivered, and detailed evidence to support this.	8

J – Match Funding (12)	
No/Limited details of any match funding required or already secured are provided.	0
The applicant has provided limited details on what match funding is required or may be secured through this grant to support the project.	1
The applicant has provided a comprehensive and detailed description of what match funding is required or will be secured through this grant with string evidence to support this.	2

K – Project Costs (13)	
An estimated cost is not provided and estimated costs are not broken down in sufficient detail.	0
An indicative overall project cost is provided with costs broken down in limited detail.	2
An indicative overall project cost is provided and evidenced, with costs broken down in detail and quotes.	4

OFFICER ONE				
Officer Name				
A		D		G (RAG)
B		E		
C		F		
TOTAL SCORE (out of 78)				
Has the application scored above 50%? (39)				
Detail your previous engagement with the organisation and/or Project				
Detail your engagement with external partners				
Officer comments and recommendation based on all of the information on this form				

OFFICER TWO				
Officer Name				
A		D		G (RAG)
B		E		
C		F		
TOTAL SCORE (out of 78)				
Has the application scored above 50%? (39)				
Detail your previous engagement with the organisation and/or Project				
Detail your engagement with external partners				
Officer comments and recommendation based on all of the information on this form				

OFFICER THREE				
Officer Name				
A		D		G (RAG)
B		E		

C		F			
TOTAL SCORE (out of 78)					
Has the application scored above 50%? (39)					
Detail your previous engagement with the organisation and/or Project					
Detail your engagement with external partners					
Officer comments and recommendation based on all of the information on this form					

OFFICER FOUR					
Officer Name					
A		D		G (RAG)	
B		E			
C		F			
TOTAL SCORE (out of 78)					
Has the application scored above 50%? (39)					
Detail your previous engagement with the organisation and/or Project					
Detail your engagement with external partners					
Officer comments and recommendation based on all of the information on this form					

OFFICER FIVE					
Officer Name					
A		D		G (RAG)	
B		E			
C		F			
TOTAL SCORE (out of 78)					
Has the application scored above 50%? (39)					
Detail your previous engagement with the organisation and/or Project					
Detail your engagement with external partners					
Officer comments and recommendation based on all of the information on this form					

Aggregate Scores			
Officer 1		Officer 4	
Officer 2		Officer 5	
Officer 3		AGGREGATE	

