

CHRISTCHURCH LAND AND ESTATES (ELMSWELL SOUTH) LIMITED

LAND OFF SCHOOL ROAD, ELMSWELL (PHASE 2)



Wardell Armstrong

2 Devon Way, Longbridge, Birmingham, West Midlands, B31 2TS, United Kingdom Telephone: +44 (0)121 580 0909 www.wardell-armstrong.com



DATE ISSUED: FEBRUARY 2024

JOB NUMBER: BM12457

REPORT NUMBER: 0001
VERSION: V4.0
STATUS: FINAL

CHRISTCHURCH LAND AND ESTATES (ELMSWELL SOUTH) LIMITED

LAND OFF SCHOOL ROAD, ELMSWELL (PHASE 2)

FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND DRAINAGE STRATEGY

APRIL 2024

PREPARED BY:

Lili Alldrick EIA Co-ordinator

Kundai Nyawata Civil Engineer

REVIEWED BY:

Chris Daniels Principal Civil

Engineer

Principal Civil

John Branson Engineer

APPROVED BY:

Emma Keegan Technical Director

This report has been prepared by Wardell Armstrong LLP with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the term the Client and Wardell Armstrong LLP accepts no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties

No part of this document may be reproduced without the prior written approval of



ENERGY AND CLIMATE CHANGE
ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY
INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES
LAND AND PROPERTY
MINING AND MINERAL PROCESSING
MINERAL ESTATES
WASTE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT



CONTENTS

E.	XECUTI	IVE SUMMARY	4
1	INT	RODUCTION	5
	1.2	Acknowledgement	5
2	EXI	STING SITE CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS	6
	2.1	The Site and Surrounding Area	6
	2.2	Development Proposals	6
	2.3	Existing Topography	7
	2.4	Proximity to Watercourses	7
	2.5	Existing Sewers and Drains	7
	2.6	Geology and Ground Conditions	8
	2.7	Hydrogeology	10
	2.8	Nitrate Vulnerable Zone	10
3	ASS	SESSMENT OF FLOOD RISK	12
	3.1	National Planning Policy	12
	3.2	Fluvial Flood Risk	12
	3.3	Tidal Flooding	13
	3.4	Surface Water Flooding	13
	3.5	Groundwater Flooding	15
	3.6	Existing Sewers and Drains	15
	3.7	Reservoirs, Canals, and Lakes	16
	3.8	Flood Risk Vulnerability	16
	3.9	The Sequential and Exception Tests	16
4	PRO	DPOSED SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY	18
	4.2	Planning Practice Guide	18
	4.3	Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems	19
	4.4	Local Requirements	20
	4.5	Discharge Hierarchy	26
	4.6	Surface Water Drainage Strategy	26
	4.7	Greenfield Runoff Rate	28
	4.8	Impermeable Areas	28
	4.9	Attenuation Requirements	28
	4.10	Piped System	29
	4.11	Water Quality	30
	4.12	Ecology and Biodiversity	33



	4.13	Visual Impact and Amenity	33
	4.14	The Four Pillars of SuDS	34
5	PRO	OPOSED FOUL DRAINAGE STRATEGY	35
	5.2	Existing Foul Water Drainage	35
	5.3	Design Foul Flows	35
6	RES	SIDUAL FLOOD RISK AND MITIGATION MEASURES	37
	6.1	Designing for Exceedance	37
	6.2	Finished Floor Levels	37
	6.3	Safe Access and Egress	37
7	AD	OPTION AND MAINTENANCE	38
	7.2	Onsite Drainage Network	38
	7.3	SuDS Features	38
8	Suf	folk flood risk management strategy local design guide	39
9	CO	NCLUSIONS	42

APPENDICES

Appendix A

• •	G
Appendix B	Existing Sewers and Drains Drawings
Appendix C	Calculations

Infiltration Testing Results

Appendix D Developer Enquiry Response

Appendix E Typical Maintenance Schedules

DRAWINGS	TITLE	SCALE
1661-CAM-XX-XX-DR-A-PL07	Site Plan as Proposed	1:1000@A1
1661-CAM-XX-XX-DR-A-PL05	Block Plan as Proposed	1:2500@A3
P22-1167_EN_0009_C_A3 P	Illustrative Landscape Plan	1:2000@A3
38441/1	Topographical Survey	1:500@A0
38441/2	Topographical Survey	1:500@A0
BM12457-001	Indicative Drainage Strategy	1:1250@A1
BM12457-003	Cross-section View	1:40@A1

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

National Planning Policy Framework (2023)
Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) (2014 – Updated August 2022)



Suffolk County Council Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (2011)

Suffolk County Council Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (2017)

Babergh and Mid Suffolk Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 1(SFRA) (2020)

Babergh and Mid Suffolk SFRA Level 2 (2020)

Babergh and Mid Suffolk Local Plan (November 2023)

Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy Appendix A Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) A Local Design Guide (2023)

Protocol for Local Planning Authorities and Developers on SuDS, Surface Water

Drainage and Local Flood Risk in Suffolk (Appendix C to the SFRMS) (2018)

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council Water Cycle Study (2020)

BM12457/FINAL APRIL 2024



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Wardell Armstrong have been commissioned by Christchurch Property Company Limited to produce a Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy to accompany an outline planning application. Table 1 summarises the details of the development, flood risk to the site and proposed drainage strategy.

Table 1: Site Summary	
Site Location	The site is located at School Road, Elmswell, East of Bury St Edmunds, the closest postcode to the site is IP30 9EH.
	NGR: TL 98266 63848
Proposed Development	The proposed development will comprise a 66-bed care home accommodation and 40 assisted living bungalows and ancillary accommodation.
Environment Agency Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1
Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification	More Vulnerable
Fluvial Flood Risk	Low Risk
Tidal Flood Risk	Low Risk
Surface Water Flood Risk	Low Risk
Groundwater Flood Risk	Low Risk
Reservoir, Canal and Lake Flood Risk	Low Risk
Sequential and Exception Test	Sequential and Exception Test Not Required
Surface Water Drainage Strategy	It is proposed to utilise Sustainable Drainage Systems to manage surface water runoff from the proposed development in line with current best practice.
	The development will utilise 2 attenuation Basins to reduce runoff to the greenfield runoff rate of 6.2l/s for all events up to and including the 1 in 100 yr + climate change event.
Foul Water Drainage Strategy	Foul flows will be pumped to Anglian Water MH5901 located in School Road.



1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1 Wardell Armstrong have been instructed by Christchurch Property Company Limited to complete a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and Drainage Strategy for the proposed development at Land off School Road, Elmswell.
- 1.1.2 As part of the site appraisal process it is necessary to demonstrate that the proposed development has an acceptable risk of flooding over the development's lifetime, taking climate change into account.
- 1.1.3 This FRA assesses the risk of flooding from all sources, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater, existing and proposed drainage infrastructure, and other artificial sources in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Planning Practice Guidance.
- 1.1.4 In addition, this report provides a comprehensive site wide surface water and foul drainage strategy, demonstrating the principles of sustainable surface water management and foul treatment disposal.
- 1.1.5 This report will form part of a larger suite of information to support an an outline planning application for the proposed development of the site.

1.2 Acknowledgement

- 1.2.1 Within this report data from the British Geological Survey (BGS) website has been 'Reproduced with the permission of the British Geological Survey © NERC. All rights reserved'. Reproduction of any BGS materials does not amount to an endorsement by NERC or any of its employees of any product or service and no such endorsement should be stated or implied.
- 1.2.2 Data from the Environment Agency (EA) has been included within this report. Flood Zone data is now classed as open data. 'Open Data can be accessed, used and shared by anybody. It allows access to our data under the Open Government Licence free of charge and free of restriction, even for commercial use.'



- 2 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS
- 2.1 The Site and Surrounding Area
- 2.1.1 The site is located at School Road, Elmswell, East of Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk. The site is centred at National Grid Reference (NGR) TL 98266 63848 and the closest postcode to the site is IP30 9EH.
- 2.1.2 The site is bounded to the north by open fields and Elmswell Hall Cottage, the east of the site is bounded by residential properties, Elmswell Train station and various commercial businesses. St John's Church lies to the southern border of the site and to the west of the site there are open fields, as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Site Location Plan (Source: www.google.co.uk/maps)

- 2.2 Development Proposals
- 2.2.1 The development proposals are for 66 bed Care Home plus 40 assisted living bungalows, Admin/Management building, a club house, communal areas, carparking and green spaces.
- 2.2.2 Indicative proposed areas are as follows:

Total Indicative Site Area – 11.6ha Potential Development Envelope - 2.9ha



- 2.3.2 The latest site plan ref 1661-CAM-XX-XX-DR-A-PL07, Block Plan ref 1661-CAM-XX-XX-DR-A-PL05 and Illustrative Landscape Plan drawing P22-1167_EN_0009_C_A3 P accompany this report.
- 2.3 Existing Topography
- 2.3.1 A topographical survey was carried out by Midland Survey Ltd in March 2021, which shows levels generally falling from east to west towards the watercourse. In the northerly part of the site, levels fall from approximately 60m AOD in east to 51m AOD in the west, and in the southerly part, levels fall from a highest level of 70m AOD to the lowest level in the southwestern corner at 40m AOD.
- 2.3.2 The topographical survey drawings 38441-1 and 388441-2 accompany this report.
- 2.4 Proximity to Watercourses
- 2.4.1 The prominent surface water feature within the proximity of the application site is an ordinary watercourse which defines the western boundary of the site. This watercourse forms the head of the fluvial system to the Black Bourn which is a tributary of the River Little Ouse.
- 2.4.2 The closest Main River to the site is the Black Bourn River which is located approximately 1.7km west of the site boundary.
- 2.5 Existing Sewers and Drains
- 2.5.1 Anglian Water (AWL) sewer records show there to be a 300mm diameter surface water sewer present, crossing the site from east to west and out falling into the ordinary watercourse on the western boundary of the site. Records of the drainage serving the proposed neighbouring development site to the northeast, indicate that the proposed 225mm diameter outfall from the surface water attenuation basin serving the site will cross the northern part of the site from east to west to reach the watercourse. Details of both sewers are included in Drawing BM12457-001.
- 2.5.2 There are no foul or combined public sewers located within the site. The nearest public foul sewer network is located to the east of the site within School Road, which is 150mm in diameter and flows in an easterly direction towards Elmswell Village. Please refer to Drawing BM12457-001 for details.



- 2.6 Geology and Ground Conditions
- 2.6.1 According to the BGS, bedrock geology across the site comprises mainly 'Crag Group-Sand' with a small area towards the southwest corner described as 'Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation, Seaford Chalk Formation, Newhaven Chalk Formation and Culver Chalk Formation Chalk'. See Figure 2.



(Source: http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html)

2.6.2 BGS records show superficial deposits within the site. To the northeast the superficial deposits comprise 'Lowestoft Formation – Diamicton', 'Head- Clay, silt, sand and gravel', across the centre of the site. To the southwest of the site the superficial geology is described as 'Lowestoft Formation – Diamicton' and to the southeast as 'Croxton Sand and Gravel Member- Sand and gravel' with a pocket of 'Lowestoft Formation – Diamicton'. as shown in Figure 3.





Figure 3 – Superficial Deposits (Source: http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html)

- 2.6.3 Borehole records are also available from the BGS. The closest boreholes to the site are TL96SE99 located approximately 132.9m to the east of the site boundary, TL96SE144 located 350m to the west of the site boundary and TL96SE93 located approximately 245m to the north site boundary. The soils in the vicinity of the boreholes are described as boulder clay, sand and gravel, crag, and upper chalk. No presence of groundwater is mentioned in any of the above boreholes.
- 2.6.4 The National Soil Resources Institute (NSRI) of Cranfield University described the soils at the site as Slightly acidic loamy and clayey soils.
- 2.6.5 Infiltration testing was conducted at this site by Wardell Armstrong in December 2016. The infiltration testing was undertaken at three locations within the site according to BRE Digest 365 (1991). Test results showed that the rate of infiltration was insufficient for all three trial pits dug. Refer to Appendix A for infiltration test results and location plan. As such the disposal of surface water runoff from the proposed development to ground will not be feasible. Instead, surface water runoff from the proposed development will discharge to the ordinary watercourse to the west of the site at a predevelopment runoff rate through the use of SuDS.



2.7 Hydrogeology

Source Protection Zones

- 2.7.1 Groundwater provides a third of drinking water in England and Wales and maintains the flow in many of our rivers. The EA have defined Source Protection Zones (SPZ's) for 2000 groundwater sources such as springs, boreholes and wells used for the public drinking supply. These zones show the risk of contamination from any activities that might cause pollution in the area the closer the activity the greater the risk. The maps show three main zones (inner, outer, and total catchment) and a fourth zone of special interest, which occasionally applies to a groundwater source.
- 2.7.2 EA mapping shows that the majority of the site is within SPZ 2 'Outer Protection Zone'. The southwestern corner of the site is within SPZ 3- 'Total Catchment'.

 Aquifers
- 2.7.3 Aquifers are underground layers of water-bearing permeable rock or drift deposits from which groundwater can be extracted. Aquifer designations reflect their importance in terms of groundwater as a resource (drinking water supply) but also their role in supporting surface water flows and wetland ecosystems. The aquifer designation data is based on geological mapping provided by the BGS, which is updated regularly to reflect ongoing improvements.
- 2.7.4 EA mapping indicates that the site is underlain by a Principal bedrock aquifer. The majority of the site is also underlain by a Secondary (undifferentiated) superficial aquifer. The southwestern corner of the site is also underlain by Secondary A superficial aquifer.
- 2.8 Nitrate Vulnerable Zone
- 2.8.1 Nutrient pollution is a particular problem for our freshwater habitats and estuaries. Increased levels of nutrients (especially nitrogen and phosphorus) can speed up the growth of certain plants, disrupting natural processes and impacting wildlife. This process damages water dependent sites, harming the plants and wildlife, and affects the oxygen carrying capacity of the water. The sources of excess nutrients are site specific, but predominantly originate from wastewater treatment works and agricultural pollution.



- 2.8.2 Although the entire site is located in an area classified by the Environmental Agency as Nitrate Vulnerability Zone, the site is not within one of the Habitats Sites that are in unfavourable condition due to high nutrient levels.
- 2.8.3 Conversion of land use from rural farmlands into populated urban areas may reduce Nitrate concentration and emissions from denitrification. It is anticipated that due to the change in land use from agriculture to mixed residential and employment, there will be a potential nitrate reduction to soils on the site that can be leached or entrained by overland flow following intense rainfall events. Therefore, providing a net benefit in terms of nitrate emissions from the site either directly or indirectly to the watercourses crossing the site.



3 ASSESSMENT OF FLOOD RISK

- 3.1 National Planning Policy
- 3.1.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and last revised by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) in December 2023. It sets out the Government's national policies on flood risk management in relation to land use planning in England.
- 3.1.2 NPPF is accompanied by Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 'Flood Risk and Coastal Change' which was published in March 2014 and last updated in August 2022. PPG is a web-based resource which advises how planning can take account of the risks associated with flooding and coastal change, both in plan making and the planning application process.
- 3.1.3 This section will review the risk of flooding at the site from all sources, both pre- and post-development. Reference will be made to local and strategic policies and documents as relevant.
- 3.2 Fluvial Flood Risk
- 3.2.1 Fluvial (river) flooding occurs when the capacity of watercourses (including streams, brooks, and ditches etc.) are exceeded due to intense or prolonged rainfall events. The Environmental Agency have produced mapping to indicate areas which may be at risk of fluvial flooding, called Flood Zones, depicted on the Flood Map for Planning.
- 3.2.2 The PPG states that all development within Flood Zones 2 or 3, and/or are over 1ha in size must be accompanied by a site-specific FRA undertaken as part of the planning application process.
- 3.2.3 The majority of the site boundary is located in Flood Zone 1 'Low Probability'. This zone comprises land assessed as having a less than 1 in 1000 annual probability of river or sea flooding (<0.1%). See Figure 4. However, the western border of the site encroaches into Flood Zones 2 and 3.






Figure 4 – Flood Map for Planning (Source: https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/)

- 3.2.4 The west boundary of the application site is partially within Flood Zones 2 (1 in 1000 year or 0.1% probability) and Flood Zone 3 (1 in 100 year or 1% probability) which are considered to be of medium and high-risk flooding respectively. However, the development layout has respected this and as a conservative approach has steered all built development and associated infrastructure outside of Flood Zones 2 and 3, ensuring that the proposed development remains safe from fluvial flood risk.
- 3.2.5 The Babergh and Mid Suffolk SFRA Level 1 (2020) and Level 2 (2020), Suffolk County Council PFRA (2016) and Suffolk County Council PRFA (2011) have been reviewed. There are no records of historical surface water flooding affecting the site.
- 3.2.6 It is therefore considered that the site is at a low risk of fluvial flooding.
- 3.3 Tidal Flooding
- 3.3.1 Tidal flooding is caused by exceptionally high sea levels and extreme wave heights. Tidal flooding is incorporated into the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning and Flood Zone designation.
- 3.3.2 Due to the site's inland location, tidal flooding is not considered to be a risk at this site.
- 3.4 Surface Water Flooding
- 3.4.1 Surface water flooding is caused by rain falling onto the surface which does not reach watercourses or drainage infrastructure. The Environment Agency 'Risk of Flooding from Surface Water' mapping examines the risk of flooding from surface water



assuming local estimates of sewer infiltration losses. The likelihood of surface water flooding is split into four categories: 'Very Low', 'Low', 'Medium' and 'High' risk.

3.4.2 The 'Risk of Flooding from Surface Water' mapping is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5 – Flood Risk from Surface Water

(Source: https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-flood-risk/map)

- 3.4.3 Environment Agency mapping identifies the majority of the site to be at 'Very Low' risk of flooding from surface water. There is a low-risk surface water flow path running through the site from east to west. Similarly at the northern boundary a small area of 'Low' flood risk runs through the site from the east to the western boundary. Along the western and Northern boundary there are areas of 'Low' to 'High' flood risk. This flood risk is associated with the unnamed watercourse. A sequential approach to the development layout has respected this and as a conservative approach has steered all built development and associated infrastructure outside of these areas of flood risk, ensuring that the proposed development remains safe from surface water flooding. The low-risk flood path crossing the site has been accommodated in the masterplan so that is conveyed across public open space avoiding all buildings and SuDS features.
- 3.4.4 The proposed layout of the development is in accordance with Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy Appendix A Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) A Local Design Guide (2023), Managing Existing Surface Water Runoff. For areas shown as having a high risk of pluvial flooding, avoid constructing residential & commercial properties with water compatible land uses such as SuDS and/or public open space



being preferable. Flood volumes should not be displaced. For areas shown as having a medium/low risk of pluvial flooding avoid constructing residential properties in these areas. Flood resilient commercial properties could be located in these areas. Water compatible uses are again encouraged e.g. SuDS. Flood volumes should not be displaced. Therefore the site is sequentially developed placing all built development away from surface water flood routes and in accordance with Appendix A "For sites on steep slopes or where overland flows of surface water are known to present issues locally, even if this hasn't been identified on national pluvial flood mapping, an allowance should be made for this within the location and design of SuDS features (e.g. including interception features to safely divert flows)."

- 3.4.5 The Babergh and Mid Suffolk SFRA Level 1 (2020) and Level 2 (2020), Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy (2016) and Suffolk County Council PFRA (2016) have been assessed. There are no records of historical surface water flooding affecting the site.
- 3.4.6 It is therefore considered the risk of flooding from surface water is Low as the site is to be sequentially developed.
- 3.5 Groundwater Flooding
- 3.5.1 Groundwater flooding can occur anywhere where groundwater levels rise above the ground surface. Groundwater flooding can be difficult to predict and identify and is often associated with surface water flooding.
- 3.5.2 The risk of groundwater flooding was assessed in the Babergh and Mid Suffolk SFRA Level 1 (2020) and Level 2 (2020), Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy (2016) and Suffolk County Council PFRA (2016). This identified low to moderate risk of groundwater flooding.
- 3.5.3 The nearest BGS borehole record to the site, identifies that groundwater was not identified.
- 3.5.4 Based upon information provided within the SFRA, PFRA and BGS borehole records the site is considered to be at Low risk of groundwater flooding.
- 3.6 Existing Sewers and Drains
- 3.6.1 Flooding from sewers and drains can occur when capacity is exceeded or there is a blockage or collapse in the network.
- 3.6.2 Anglian Water (AWL) sewer records show there to be a 300mm diameter surface water sewer present, crossing the site from east to west and discharging into the



- ordinary watercourse on the western boundary of the site. The proposals include the diversion to this sewer south of the developable area, therefore any potential flooding will occur away from the habitable buildings.
- 3.6.3 Should flooding of these sewers occur, flows would head west following the topography of the area to reach the watercourse.
- 3.6.4 The Babergh and Mid Suffolk SFRA Level 1 (2020) and Level 2 (2020), Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy (2016) and Suffolk County Council PFRA (2016) contains no records of historical sewer flooding affecting the site.
- 3.6.5 It is therefore considered the risk of flooding from sewers is Low.
- 3.7 Reservoirs, Canals, and Lakes
- 3.7.1 Flooding from reservoirs, canals and lakes occurs when their associated dams, embankments or other retaining structures fail or are breached.
- 3.7.2 There are no reservoirs near the site. The Environment Agency 'Risk of Flooding from Reservoirs' mapping indicates that the site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.
- 3.7.3 There are no canals or lakes near the site. Therefore, the risk of flooding from reservoirs, canals and lakes in this location is considered to be low.
- 3.8 Flood Risk Vulnerability
- 3.8.1 The PPG identifies the Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification of development types. Development types are classed as 'Essential Infrastructure', 'Highly Vulnerable', 'More Vulnerable', 'Less Vulnerable' and 'Water Compatible Development' depending on their use and vulnerability.
- 3.8.2 As care home accommodation and assisted living bungalows are proposed, this development is classified as More Vulnerable.
- 3.9 The Sequential and Exception Tests
- 3.9.1 The PPG details the Sequential and Exception Tests. The Sequential Test ensures that a sequential approach is followed to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. The approach is designed to ensure that areas at little or no risk of flooding from any source are developed in preference to areas at higher risk. This means avoiding, as far as possible, development in current and future medium and high flood risk areas considering all sources of flooding including areas at risk of



- surface water flooding. Only where there are no reasonably available sites or lower flood risk, should development be considered in higher flood risk areas.
- 3.9.2 The Exception Test is a method to demonstrate and help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed satisfactorily, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of fluvial flooding are not available.
- 3.9.3 PPG identifies when the Exception Test should be applied and is reproduced as Table 2 below. As this More Vulnerable development will be located in Flood Zone 1, the Sequential Test is considered to be passed and the Exception Test does not need to be applied.

Table 2: F	lood Risk Vulnerab	oility Classification						
Flood	Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification							
Zones								
	Essential	Highly	More	Less	Water			
	Infrastructure	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Compatible			
Zone 1	√	✓	✓	√	√			
Zone 2	✓	Exception Test Required	✓	√	✓			
Zone 3a	Exception Test Required	Х	Exception Test Required	√	✓			
Zone 3b	Exception Test Required	Х	Х	Х	√			
✓ Develo	pment is appropria	te.						
➤ Development should not be permitted								

Development should not be permitted

3.9.4 Although there are areas are Flood Zone 2 and 3 to the west border of the site, the development area will be contained in Flood Zone 1.



4 PROPOSED SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

- 4.1.1 Site-specific surface water drainage infrastructure will need to be constructed to serve the proposed development. It is a requirement of the NPPF that Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) are used in all major development if feasible. The Lead Local Flood Authority also strongly advocate the use of SuDS within new development.
- 4.1.2 All new drainage systems will be designed with consideration for PPG, Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems, Building Regulations Approved Document H (Drainage and Waste Disposal), the local authority sustainable drainage guidance and the latest version of Design Construction Guidance.
- 4.2 Planning Practice Guide
- 4.2.1 PPG requires that SuDS measures be implemented to manage surface water runoff within new developments.
- 4.2.2 PPG advises that climate change allowances should be determined with reference to the guidance provided in the EA document 'Flood Risk Assessment: Climate Change Allowances' (May 2022). The guidance indicates that for residential development a minimum lifetime of a 100 years should be considered. It also, indicates that for flood risk assessments and strategic flood risk assessments for developments with a lifetime beyond 2100 the upper end allowances should be assessed.
- 4.2.3 The assessment must be done for both the 1% and 3.3% annual exceedance probability events for the 2070s epoch (2061 to 2125).
- 4.2.4 According to the peak rainfall allowances map, the proposed development falls within the Cam and Ely Ouse Management Catchment with the upper end allowance for the 1% annual exceedance rainfall event at 40% and the upper end allowance for the 3.3% annual exceedance rainfall event at 35%, as shown in figure 6.






Figure 6 – Climate change allowance (Source: Climate change allowances for peak rainfall (data.gov.uk))

- 4.2.5 The Babergh and Mid Sussex Council expects that all developers should design the surface water attenuation on site to accommodate the +20% climate change allowance and undertake a sensitivity analysis to understand the flooding implication of the +40% climate change allowance. If the implications are significant i.e. the site could flood existing development (by allowing additional flow of runoff from the site) or put people at risk (as a result of increased hazard levels within or off the site) then a view may be taken to provide more attenuation within the drainage design up towards the +40% allowance, or to provide additional mitigation, for example a higher freeboard to ensure no risk to third parties/onsite users for the +40% allowance.
- 4.2.6 As such it is proposed that the surface water drainage strategy will be based on a provision of surface water attenuation on site which will accommodate the 1 in 100 years plus 40% climate change rainfall event.
- 4.3 Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems
- 4.3.1 Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems were published by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs in March 2015 to support the Lead Local Flood Authority Statutory consultee role in relation to surface water. The standards relate to the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of SuDS and have been published as guidance for those designing schemes.



- 4.3.2 The Standards sets out general recommendations for the control of development runoff, including the requirement to ensure that runoff from the site is not increased by the development, and the requirement to manage surface water runoff from events up to and including the 1 in 100 year (including an allowance for the projected impacts of climate change).
- 4.4 Local Requirements
- 4.4.1 A number of local authorities have also produced their own policy and guidance in relation to SUDS.
 - Joint Local Plan- Pre- Submission- November 2020
- 4.4.2 Part 1 of the Joint Local Plan was adopted by Mid Suffolk District Council on 20 November 2023 and by Babergh District Council on 21 November 2023. The Councils are currently progressing with the production of the Joint Local Plan Part 2 Development Plan Document. Policies relevant include Policies SP10- Climate Change, LP23- Sustainable Construction and Design, LP26- Water Resources and Infrastructure LP27- Flood Risk Vulnerability.

4.4.3 Policy SP10 – Climate Change

- "1. The Councils will require all development to mitigate and adapt to climate change by:
 - a. Adopting a sequential risk-based approach taking into account future proofing measures for impacts of flooding;
 - b. Conforming to the principle of Holistic Water Management;
 - c. Applying existing and innovative approaches to sustainable design and construction; and
 - d. Identifying opportunities, where appropriate, to deliver decentralised energy systems powered by a renewable or low carbon source and associated infrastructure, including community-led initiatives."

4.4.4 Policy LP23- Sustainable Construction and Design

- "1. All new development is required to minimise its dependence on fossil fuels and to make the fullest contribution to the mitigation of climate change through adopting a sustainable approach to energy use.
- 2. All new residential development is required to:



- a. Achieve reductions in CO2 emissions for the Target Emissions Rate of new dwellings and new buildings as set out in the 2021 Edition of 2010 Building Regulations (Part L) or any subsequent more recent legislation which would lead to a greater reduction in CO2 emissions, where practicable;
- b. Meet the higher water efficiency standards of 110 litres per person per day, as set out in Building Regulations Part G2 (or any subsequent more recent legislation);
- c. Demonstrate climate change adaptation and mitigation measures by adopting effective design principles (including shading, landscaping, site layout and building orientation);
- d. Be designed to minimise the energy demand of the building through maximising natural sunlight and ventilation, effectively utilising solar gain and to help buildings respond to winter and summer temperatures and incorporating flood mitigation measures;
- e. Provide energy efficiency measures with a proactive approach to improving on the minimum standards specified in the Building Regulations where possible;
- f. Provide feasible and viable on-site renewable and other low carbon energy generation to allow the greatest CO2 reduction32;
- g. Demonstrate how it has incorporated sustainable building materials wherever possible; and
- h. Plan for the risks associated with future climate change as part of the layout of the scheme and design of its buildings to ensure its longer-term resilience.
- 3. In meeting the above, all major developments are required to submit a Sustainability Design and Construction Statement. This should be submitted at the appropriate stage in the application process and demonstrate how the principles set out in 2c)-2h) will be incorporated into the design of the development.
- 4. Non-residential development of 1,000sqm and above must achieve a minimum of BREEAM 'Very Good' standard or equivalent. Developers will be expected to provide certification evidence of the levels for BREEAM at design stage and on completion of development. All new developments will also be expected to meet the higher water



efficiency standards as set out in 2b), unless it is convincingly demonstrated that it is not possible.

5. All residential developments are encouraged to achieve water usage of not more than 100 litres per person per day. This is in addition to criterion 2b) in accordance with recommendation from Anglian Water. Water re-use and recycling, rainwater and stormwater harvesting, and other suitable measures should be incorporated wherever feasible to reduce demand on mains water supply"

4.4.5 Policy LP26- Water resources and infrastructure

"Development will be supported where it:

- 1. Conforms to the principle of Holistic Water Management including the use of appropriate water efficiency and re-use measures, together with surface water drainage which provides community and environmental benefits;
- 2. Considers its impact on water resources and the capacity of water supply network infrastructure, taking into account the effects of climate change;
- 3. Demonstrates the applicant has consulted with the relevant authority regarding wastewater treatment and that capacity within the foul sewerage network and receiving water recycling centre is available or can be made available in time to serve the development;
- 4. Separates foul and surface water flows;
- 5. Complies with the relevant statutory environmental body policy on culverts; and
- 6. The proposal will not result in any adverse effect (either through construction and / or operation) on the integrity of the Protected Habitats Sites and designated AONBs."

4.4.6 Policy LP27- Flood Risk and Vulnerability

Development will be supported where it:

- 1. Conforms to the principle of Holistic Water Management including the use of appropriate water efficiency and re-use measures, together with surface water drainage which provides community and environmental benefits;
- 2. Considers its impact on water resources and the capacity of water supply network infrastructure, taking into account the effects of climate change;



- 3. Demonstrates the applicant has consulted with the relevant authority regarding wastewater treatment and that capacity within the foul sewerage network and receiving water recycling centre is available or can be made available in time to serve the development;
- 4. Separates foul and surface water flows;
- 5. Complies with the relevant statutory environmental body policy on culverts; and
- 6. The proposal will not result in any adverse effect (either through construction and / or operation) on the integrity of the Protected Habitats Sites and designated AONBs. Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council Water Cycle Study (2020)
- 4.4.7 The recommendations in the Babergh & Mid Suffolk District Council Water Cycle Study are as follows:

Local Plan to adopt enhanced water efficiency standards (110I/p/d) permitted by National Planning Practice Guidance.

The concept of water neutrality potentially has a lot of benefit in terms of resilience to climate change and enabling waterbodies to achieve good ecological status under the water framework directive.

Early and continued engagement with Anglian Water and Essex & Suffolk Water is required in order to ensure that where upgrades to water supply or wastewater infrastructure is required, it can be planned in to ensure that it is in place prior to occupation of development sites.

Incorporate water quality criterion into SuDS policy

Suffolk County Council Flood Risk Management Strategy (2016).

- 4.4.8 A number of local authorities have also produced their own policy and guidance in relation to SUDS. Suffolk County Council published local guidance in relation to SuDS in March 2016 'Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy'. Key requirements in this guidance include ...Demonstration that the SuDS Management has been appropriately applied.
- 4.4.9 The Suffolk Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS) was adopted March 2016. The document focuses on how Local Planning Authorities are responsible for ensuring sustainable drainage in new developments and the mechanisms for the ongoing maintenance of new sustainable drainage systems.



4.4.10 Within the Suffolk LFRMS the county council have produced a protocol (Appendix C of the LFRMS) to inform Local Planning Authorities and developments on the surface water disposal process and how to submit a successful application. In addition, a Local Surface Water Drainage Guide has been produced in Appendix A of the LFRMS and endorsed by the Suffolk Flood Risk Management Partnership to outline the various design criteria and the local interpretation. Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) a Local Design Guide (2023). (Appendix A to the Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy).

4.4.11 The guiding principles for SuDS in Suffolk have been summarised below:

Early consideration of sustainable flood and coastal risk management in production of Local Plans and master planning—promoting and protecting 'blue and green corridors'.

Wherever possible, the use of multifunctional, above ground SuDS that deliver drainage, enhancement of biodiversity, improvements in water quality and amenity benefits.

Ensuring that landowners realise both the importance of reducing flood risk and how properly designed sustainable drainage systems can be an asset to their development.

Ensuring no increase in flood risk from new development wherever possible and contributing to reducing existing risk if feasible.

Ensuring water flows around properties when the design capacity of drainage systems is exceeded by extreme rainfall.

4.4.12 Key points within the document focus on:

Discharge Hierarchy.

Suffolk County Council indicates that surface run off water should be discharged as high up in the hierarchy as possible. The following hierarchy stands: Infiltration; to a surface water body; to a surface water sewer, highway drain; or another drainage system and finally to a combined sewer. Deep borehole Soakaways (>2mbgl) are considered not viable and will be only considered as the last resort by Suffolk County Council. Collection and reuse of surface or ground water should also be a first consideration for developers.

Soakage rates need to be above about 5-10 mm/hr for infiltration to be the sole means of drainage.



Run-off rate

Suffolk County Council recommends that discharge is restricted to QBAR or 2l/s/ha (whichever is higher) for all events up to the critical 100yr+CC. Where discharging to public sewer Anglian Water policy takes precedence i.e., 1 in 1yr greenfield flow rate for all events.

Alternatively discharge rates can be limited to a range of greenfield rates, based on the 1 in 1, 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 year storm events. However, the use of this method to restrict discharge rates requires inclusion of long-term storage, sized to take account of the increased post development volumes, discharging at no greater than 2l/s/ha.

Greenfield rates should not include an allowance for climate change. Rainfall used to design the SuDS will need to be increased to allow for climate change.

Impermeable areas to include allowances for future added paving, extensions, or verge hardening. Suffolk County Council will accept a figure of 10% for urban creep.

Volume Control

Suffolk County Council will not normally accept flow control throttles with less than a 100mm opening however where volume control requires a smaller throttle then this requirement may be waived.

Where the proposed discharge rate is greater than 2l/s/ha or QBAR for peak flow control a separate area must be available for volume control. Also known as Long Term Storage (LTS) this must be provided on the site to counter the excess volume created by new impermeable surfaces. Volume control or Long-Term Storage must be discharged from the site at 2/l/s/ha even if a higher rate is permitted for peak flow control.

Suffolk County Council recommend that for all sites discharging to a watercourse, the final permitted discharge rate for the entire site is 2l/s/ha or QBAR for all events up to the 1in 100+CC event and this then accounts for any volume control needed. The proposed drainage strategy for this development is to discharge at a QBar rate and therefore satisfying the Suffolk CC volume control requirement

Adoption and Maintenance

The LLFA will not adopt or maintain any SuDS features. The responsibility to ensure that adequate long-term maintenance of any drainage system can be delivered remains with Local Authority, Internal Drainage Board, Water and Sewerage



Companies, Local Highway Authority and Private Maintenance Companies (dependent on the type of SuDS).

Water Quality

One of the guiding principles for SuDS in Suffolk is:

 "Wherever possible multifunctional above ground SuDS that deliver drainage, enhancement of biodiversity, improvements in water quality and amenity benefits should be used."

Suffolk County Council suggest wherever SuDS drain to a watercourse (including via a SW sewer or highway drain) open vegetated SuDS and/or permeable paving plus permanent wet pond(s) will be required to the improve the quality of water discharged. A SuDS train should be designed in accordance with the CIRIA SuDS Manual's 'Simple Index Method'.

Surface runoff should be managed on the surface where it is reasonably practicable to do so and as close to its source as is reasonably practicable.

The drainage system should be designed and constructed so surface water discharged does not adversely impact the water quality of receiving water bodies, both during construction and when operational.

Water quality treatment components should be designed to ensure that they function effectively during rainfall events more frequent than the 1 in 1 year rainfall event.

- 4.4.13 The following surface water strategy has been developed in line with the local policy and SuDS requirements.
- 4.5 Discharge Hierarchy
- 4.5.1 In accordance with Building Regulations and Suffolk County Council the preferred hierarchy for disposal of surface water is infiltration; watercourse; sewer.
- 4.5.2 Infiltration testing was undertaken by Wardell Armstrong in 2016 comprising 3 trial pits to a depth of 1.7m. This has determined that infiltration at the site is not viable. Details of the infiltration testing can be found in Appendix A. As such, and following the hierarchy of discharge, it is proposed that surface water runoff is attenuated and discharged to the watercourse bounding the site to the west.
- 4.6 Surface Water Drainage Strategy
- 4.6.1 CIRIA report C753 'The SuDS Manual' outlines the various types of SuDS, their benefits and limitations and design considerations associated with each. Not all SuDS



components/methods are feasible or appropriate for all developments due to factors such as ground conditions, available space, and site levels, which will influence the different methods adopted as part of a particular development. Given the nature of the site and existing ground conditions the following surface water drainage strategy is proposed.

- 4.6.2 Following the LLFA Guidance, SuDS source control measures should be implemented in order to provide the required interception and water quality treatment, the current guidance states that ...'wherever SuDS drain to a watercourse (including via a SW sewer or highway drain) open vegetated SuDS and/or permeable paving plus permanent wet pond(s) will be required to the improve the quality of water discharged. A SuDS train should be designed in accordance with the CIRIA SuDS Manual's 'Simple Index Method'. Surface runoff should be managed on the surface where it is reasonably practicable to do so and as close to its source as is reasonably practicable'. The area of permanent standing water will not affect the hydraulic design of the feature.
- 4.6.3 Therefore, source control SuDS (e.g., water butts and/or rainwater recycling/ green roofs) will be considered (as appropriate). Such features will provide further betterment in terms of surface water runoff rates and volumes not accounted for in the drainage design.
- 4.6.4 Permeable paving with sub-base storage will be considered for shared surfaces to provide additional attenuation, water quality treatment, and slow the time of concentration into the drainage network.
- 4.6.5 Surface water runoff will be conveyed via the site surface water sewers to an attenuation feature (Pond 1) located within the public open space. This attenuation feature will cascade into another basin (Pond 2) further south without any restrictions. Pond 2 will discharge at the QBar greenfield runoff rate to the watercourse bounding the site to the west. The attenuation features will be used to both provide attenuation up to the 100yr plus climate change event and water quality treatment.
- 4.6.6 The final discharge to the watercourse from the proposed development will require consent from the Mid Suffolk District Council.
- 4.6.7 Please refer to BM12457-001 for details of the indicative drainage strategy.



4.7 Greenfield Runoff Rate

- 4.7.1 Surface water flows from the new development will be attenuated down to the QBAR pre-development (Greenfield) runoff rates, to ensure the rate and volume of runoff leaving the site post-development does not exceed pre-development conditions.
- 4.7.2 Greenfield runoff rates for the site have been calculated using the FEH method in MicroDrainage. The calculations are included in Appendix C.
- 4.7.3 The greenfield runoff rate QBAR determined using the FEH methodology was calculated as 2.88 l/s/ha, see Table 3.

Table 3: Greenfield Runoff Rates FEH Method						
Storm Event	Growth Curve Factors (CIRIA Table 24.2)	Greenfield Runoff Rate (I/s/ha)				
QMED	-	2.59				
QBAR	1.11	2.88				
Q1	0.87	2.50				
Q2	0.89	2.56				
Q30	2.55	7.33				
Q100	3.56	10.24				

^{*}Suggested QBAR/QMED conversion:1.11 (based on suggested values by the EA Rainfall Runoff Management for Developments Report to convert QMED to QBAR of 0.9).

4.8 Impermeable Areas

4.8.1 The site's total area is 5.1ha as indicated in the site plan with 2.9ha being developed. An allowance of 10% has been made for urban creep and the surface area of the basins has been included in the impermeable area as shown in Table 4. The value of urban creep has been determined as 10% of the remaining total development area once the catchment impermeable area has been deducted.

Table 4: Catchments and Impermeability							
Total Site Area (ha)	Total Developable Area (ha)	Catchment Impermeable Area (ha)	Urban Creep (ha)	Basin 1 & 2 Surface area (ha)	Total Impermeable Area (ha)		
5.10	2.91	1.75	0.12	0.33	2.2		

4.9 Attenuation Requirements

4.9.1 To achieve greenfield runoff rates, attenuation storage is required. In line with the Local Standards and Guidance, surface water flows from the proposed development will be discharged to an attenuation basin - Pond 1 where the water will be conveyed to a second attenuation basin - Pond 2 further south without any restrictions. Pond 2 will then discharge to the watercourse at a rate of 6.3/s (QBAR greenfield runoff rate) for design storms up to and including the 1 in 100yr + 40% climate change event to



ensure that there is no increase in flood risk. Table 5 summarises the attenuation requirements for the site. Causeway Flow software has been used to size the attenuation basins, details are included in Appendix C. Please refer to drawing BM12457-003 for sections of the attenuation basins, which show the water level at the 2 year, 30+CC year and 100+CC year events.

Table 5: Attenuation Details							
Attenuation Feature	Impermeable Area (ha)	Greenfield Runoff QBAR (I/s)	Required Attenuation (m3)	Required Basin Surface Area (m2)			
Pond 1	2.000	6.3	1058.4	1840			
Pond 2	0.150	6.3	1120.9	1510.5			

4.9.2 The surface water drainage strategy is based on the following parameters:

60% impermeability for residential catchments;

Attenuation volumes based on 1 in 100yr rainfall event, including a 40% allowance for climate change;

Attenuation basin - Pond 1 at a depth of 0.7m with 300mm of freeboard;

Attenuation basin - Pond 2 at a depth of 1m with 300mm of freeboard;

Basin side slopes of 1 in 4;

Greenfield runoff rate of 2.88l/s/ha:

10% allowance for urban creep;

Basin surface area included in the impermeable area; and

CV value of 1 in the FLOW calculations.

4.10 Piped System

- 4.10.1 In accordance with the Design and Construction Guidance, the piped system will be designed to accommodate runoff during storm events up to the 1 in 30-year event. Adoptable piped sewer systems will be designed in accordance with the Design and Construction Guidance and any private drainage systems designed in accordance with Building Regulations Approved Document H.
- 4.10.2 It is proposed that the existing public surface water sewer crossing the site is diverted south, avoiding the proposed developable area, these would be subject to a \$98 agreement. The diverted sewer to the south will require two manholes either side of the pipe connecting the two attenuation ponds. This will allow the diverted pipe to dive below the connecting pipe between the two ponds and ensure there is no collision between the two sewers.



4.10.3 Similarly, the proposed private surface water sewer serving the proposed development to the northeast will be diverted slightly to accommodate a proposed building, this will be subject to a private agreement. For details of the location of the sewers and potential diversion please refer to Drawing BM12457-001.

4.11 Water Quality

- 4.11.1 According to the CIRIA SuDS Manual (C753) to protect the water quality of receiving surface waters and/or groundwater (both now and in the future), runoff discharges from the site should be of an acceptable water quality. Even where a receiving water already contains elevated levels of pollutants, and the surface water discharge is unlikely to have a significant impact, pollutants generated by site activities should be managed on site.
- 4.11.2 SuDS can treat and clean surface water runoff from urban areas so that the receiving environment is protected, while at the same time conveying, storing, and infiltrating surface water runoff to protect flood risk, river morphology and water resources, and delivering amenity and biodiversity value for the development.
- 4.11.3 In most urban locations in the UK more than 50% of all rainfall events are less than 5mm in depth, therefore preventing runoff from these events is significant in terms of both hydrological and pollution impact reduction on receiving waterbodies. According to CIRIA (C753) the first 5mm of rainfall is known as the 'first flush' and generally has a higher pollutant load than subsequent runoff. This flow should be contained within the site through provision of source control SuDS.
- 4.11.4 According to CIRIA (C753), treatment within SuDS components is essential for frequent rainfall events, i.e., up to about the 1:1 year return period event. For rainfall events greater than the 1:1 year event, it is likely that the dilution available in the receiving surface waters will be significant reducing the environmental pollution risk.
- 4.11.5 There is a large variability in the level of pollutants in urban runoff. Un-trafficked areas are usually the least contaminated, with levels of contamination tending to rise with traffic intensities and higher risk of spillages. The size and number of treatment stages required is based on the level of pollution entering the system.
- 4.11.6 Table 4.3 of the CIRIA SuDS Manual (C753) indicates that residential roofs of small and medium residential infill have a 'Very Low' pollution hazard level, and the only requirement to discharge such flows to the surface waters is the removal of gross solids and sediments. However, in the case of neighbourhood streets (individual



property driveways, residential carparks, low traffic roads) the pollution hazard level is identified as 'Low,' in this case the Simple Index Approach should be applied. See Figure 7 below (Table 4.3 extracted from CIRIA 753).

Land use	Pollution hazard level	Requirements for discharge to surface waters, including coasts and estuaries ²	Requirements for discharge to groundwater
Residential roofs	Very low	Removal of gross solids and	sediments only
Individual property driveways, roofs (excluding residential), residential car parks, low traffic roads (eg cul de sacs, home zones, general access roads), non-residential car parking with infrequent change (eg schools, offices)	Low	Simple index approach ³ Note: extra measures may be n	equired for discharges to protected resources
Commercial yard and delivery areas, non-residential car parking with frequent change (eg hospitals, retail), all roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/motorways	Medium	Simple index approach ³ Note: extra measures may be required for discharges to protected resources ⁴	Simple index approach ^a Note: extra measures may be required for discharges to protected resources? In England and Wales, Risk Screening must be undertaken first to determine whether consultation with the environmental regulator is required. In Northern Ireland, the need for risk screening should be agreed with the environmental regulator.
Trunk roads and motorways	High	Follow the guidance and risk	assessment process set out in HA (2009)
Sites with heavy pollution (eg haulage yards, lorry parks, highly frequented lorry approaches to industrial estates, waste sites), sites where chemicals and fuels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to be delivered, handled, stored, used or manufactured, industrial sites	High	LIFECTOR AND AND THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF	environmental licence or permit ^a . e from the environmental regulator. Risk equired ⁵ .

Figure 7 – Table 4.3 Simple Index Approach, Pollution Hazard Source (CIRIA report C753 'The SuDS Manual')

4.11.7 The Simple Index Approach within CIRIA (C753) Table 26.2 (see Figure 8 below) identifies the pollution hazard indices associated with "Low" Pollution hazard level.



Land use	Pollution hazard level	Total suspended solids (TSS)	Metals	Hydro- carbons
Residential roofs	Very low	0.2	0.2	0.05
Other roofs (typically commercial/ industrial roofs)	Low	0.3	0.2 (up to 0.8 where there is potential for metals to leach from the roof)	0.05
Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic roads (eg cul de sacs, homezones and general access roads) and non-residential car parking with infrequent change (eg schools, offices) ie < 300 traffic movements/day	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4
Commercial yard and delivery areas, non-residential car parking with frequent change (eg hospitals, retail), all roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/motorways¹	Medium	0.7	0.6	0.7
Sites with heavy pollution (eg haulage yards, lorry parks, highly frequented forry approaches to industrial estates, waste sites), sites where chemicals and fuels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to be delivered, handled, stored, used or manufactured; industrial sites; trunk roads and motorways!	High	0.8 ²	0.82	0.92

Figure 8 – Table 26.2 Pollution Hazard Indices Source (CIRIA report C753 'The SuDS Manual')

4.11.8 When referencing CIRIA (C753) Table 26.3 (see Figure 9 below) a vegetated detention basin, Pond or Swale can provide water quality treatment. The mitigation indices provided by these SuDS features are each sufficient to address the pollution hazards arising from residential developments. Therefore will address the potential pollution hazards at the site.



		Mitigation indices	
Type of SuDS component	TSS	Metals	Hydrocarbons
Filter strip	0.4	0.4	0.5
Filter drain	0.42	0.4	0.4
Swale	0.5	0.6	0.6
Bioretention system	0.8	0.8	0.8
Permeable pavement	0.7	0.6	0.7
Detention basin	0.5	0.5	0.6
Pond ⁴	0.73	0.7	0.5
Wetland	0.83	0.8	0,8
Proprietary treatment systems ^{5,8}	These must demonstrate acceptable levels for frequencies of the period event, for inflow co	ately the 1 in 1 year return	

Figure 9 – Table 26.3 Pollution Hazard Indices Source (CIRIA report C753 'The SuDS Manual')

4.12 Ecology and Biodiversity

- 4.12.1 The surface water drainage system will aim to enhance existing habitats and provide new habitats within the site wherever possible. If designed correctly, SuDS can provide an excellent habitat for aquatic flora and fauna. The ecological potential of the SuDS system can be maximised by utilising local planting, locating SuDS near to existing wetlands, ponds, or watercourses, creating a range of habitats and providing varied water depths within SuDS features, and by ensuring an effective maintenance regime is in place.
- 4.12.2 The proposed attenuation features have been designed as detention basins but consideration is the be given to providing additional permanently wet features within the attenuation basins, without impacting on the attenuation volume provided, to convert them to attenuation ponds. The use of attenuation ponds would provide additional ecological, biodiversity and water quality improvements.

4.13 Visual Impact and Amenity

4.13.1 SuDS can be used as a striking visual feature within a development and can contribute to visual character. The surface water drainage system aims to have a neutral or positive visual impact on the development and will enhance the sites amenity value wherever possible.



- 4.13.2 Open-water SuDS features such as ponds often form part of public open spaces, and as such should be designed so they provide amenity benefits to the development, with specific attention given to their visual impact and public acceptability. This can be done by using vegetation and landscaping, effective maintenance, and provision of information/education about the onsite SuDS system.
- 4.13.3 Within the attenuation basin, an area of permanent standing water could be provided for ecological and amenity benefits. This will not affect the hydraulic design of the feature.
- 4.13.4 The attenuation basins have been designed with a 3.5m flat maintenance strip around the outside, which can also be used by the public. This makes the area accessible and enhances the amenity value of the site.
- 4.14 The Four Pillars of SuDS
- 4.14.1 The Drainage strategy will deliver the four pillars of SuDS design underpinning principles of sustainable drainage, namely: water quantity, water quality, biodiversity and visual amenity.
- 4.14.2 Water Quantity: The drainage strategy aims to control the quantity of runoff to manage flood risk and maintain the natural water cycle by mimicking nature and restricting the discharge rate to QBar greenfield runoff rates. This will regulate the flow of water during heavy rainfall, preventing flooding and ensuring sustainable water management.
- 4.14.3 Water Quality: Managing the quality of runoff is crucial. SuDS proposed by this drainage strategy will help prevent pollution by filtering and treating rainwater. Pollution hazard level is identified as 'Low,' in this case using the Simple Index Approach, which is mitigated thought the use of SuDS features like the detention basins before it enters the watercourse. This ensures that the water discharged will be clean and environmentally friendly.
- 4.14.4 Biodiversity: SuDS promote biodiversity by creating habitats for plants, insects, and wildlife. Features like the detention basins/ponds, swales, and wetlands will enhance local ecosystems and contribute to a healthier environment.
- 4.14.5 Visual Amenity: SuDS design considers aesthetics and integrates natural features into urban landscapes. The systems will enhance the visual appeal of the area, providing green spaces, ponds, and attractive vegetation.



5 PROPOSED FOUL DRAINAGE STRATEGY

- 5.1.1 This section outlines how foul flows from the proposed development will be managed in accordance with national and regional policy requirements and best practice quidance.
- 5.2 Existing Foul Water Drainage
- 5.2.1 Sewer records have been obtained from Anglian Water. The records indicate that there are foul sewers located along School Road to the north-east of the site and along Church Road to the south-east of the site. Sewer records have been included in Appendix F.
- 5.3 Design Foul Flows
- 5.3.1 As the site is currently undeveloped, site-specific foul drainage infrastructure will need to be installed to serve the proposed development. At this stage, an indicative foul water network is not available, however the preferred connection point is MH5901 located in School Road, this is shown on Drawing BM12457-001.
- 5.3.2 Due to the topography of the site, a pumping station and foul rising main will need to be constructed to serve the site.
- 5.3.3 The design of the foul drainage network has been based on Design and Construction Guidance. Based on 4000 litres per dwelling per day and 105 dwellings (40 assisted living bungalows and 66 bed care home) the peak flows have been calculated to be approximately number 4.91l/s.
- 5.3.4 The total average daily foul flow, Dry Weather Flow, has also been determined for the site. This has been calculated to be 1.73l/s based on the parameters described below.
- 5.3.5 The dry weather flow for the 40 assisted living bungalows has been calculated as 0.68l/s based on Anglian Water document: Adoptable Pumping Station Design Criteria as follows:

40 assisted living bungalows;

Water consumption of 125 litres per person per day;

2.35 people per property;

25% infiltration rate; and

Pump Rate: 4 x DWF.



- 5.3.6 The dry weather flow for 66 No. Bed Home has been calculated based on the British Water Code of Practice Flow and Loads, which suggest a foul loading of 350I/d/bed and a Pump Rate of 4 x DWF, which equate to 1.07I/s.
- 5.3.7 It is assumed that consumption arise from staff and visitors is included within the occupancy rate in the above calculations.
- 5.4 Public Sewer Capacity
- 5.4.1 A developer enquiry has been submitted to Anglian Water to confirm capacity within the existing foul water network. A response was received in January 2023.
- 5.4.2 A capacity check has been undertaken by Anglian Water and their response is included in Appendix D. Anglian Water have confirmed that the nearest practicable connection is to the 150mm diameter sewer at downstream of manhole 5901 in School Road at National Grid Reference NGR TL 98538 63914. Anglian Water has assessed the impact of a pumped conveyance from the planned development to the public foul sewerage network and can confirm that this connection is acceptable as the foul sewerage system, at present, has available capacity for your site. In line with Sewers for Adoption, the pumped discharge will need to connect via an intermediate manhole and at least 5 metres of an appropriately sized gravity sewer.
- 5.4.3 New connection charges recently have come into effect means that Anglian Water will be responsible for funding and implementing network reinforcement if required, in which case the water company will agree a timeframe for improvements to align with the build programme of the development.



6 RESIDUAL FLOOD RISK AND MITIGATION MEASURES

- 6.1 Designing for Exceedance
- 6.1.1 The surface water drainage system has been designed to minimise the risk of flooding to properties in the event of exceedance of the system capacity during storm events in excess of the design storm, which in this case is the 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change event. In addition, the basins have been designed to provide a minimum freeboard of 300mm in the event of surface water exceedance.
- 6.1.2 The layout and landscaping of the proposed development will be designed and developed to ensure that exceedance flood flow paths are routed away from vulnerable development and toward either landscaped areas, areas of open attenuation/SuDS features or the local ditch course system. Minor modifications to topography, the profile of a highway, footpath or kerb and strategically placed green infrastructure will be developed as the masterplan is progressed to ensure that exceedance flood flows are managed and there is little or no risk of property flooding.
- 6.2 Finished Floor Levels
- 6.2.1 In accordance with Building Regulations, Finished Floor Levels (FFL's) of new residential properties should be set at least 150mm above surrounding ground levels. This will provide some protection to properties from extreme fluvial flood events or flooding of the drainage system due to blockages or collapse etc. It is recommended that finished floor levels of the proposed dwellings in the immediate vicinity of attenuation ponds and basins are set a minimum of 300mm above finished ground levels.
- 6.3 Safe Access and Egress
- 6.3.1 Considering the assessed flood risk to the site, it is considered that safe access and egress will be provided to School Road during all flood events. Based on data provided by the EA, the site is considered to be at a low risk of flooding and will therefore have dry access and egress up to the 1 in 100yr + climate change event



7 ADOPTION AND MAINTENANCE

- 7.1.1 As part of the planning application approval process, in considering planning applications, Local Planning Authorities consult the relevant Lead Local Flood Authority on the management of surface water; satisfy themselves that the proposed minimum standards of operation are appropriate and ensure through the use of planning conditions or planning obligations that there are clear arrangements in place for ongoing maintenance over the lifetime of the development.
- 7.2 Onsite Drainage Network
- 7.2.1 Anglian Water is the appointed water company for this area and are responsible for the operation and maintenance of the public surface water and foul water network.
- 7.2.2 The onsite surface water and foul water network (excluding SuDS features) will be offered to Anglian Water, this will be subject to a satisfactory Section 104 application, to be submitted at the detailed design stage.
- 7.3 SuDS Features
- 7.3.1 The onsite SuDS system will be offered to Anglian Water for adoption following the Design Construction Guidance, and the POS areas will be offered to Babergh and Mid Sussex District Council.
- 7.3.2 If onsite SuDS are to be adopted by Anglian Water, the proposed design will need to meet Anglian Water's adoption criteria, referencing relevant guidance and advice where appropriate.
- 7.3.3 Alternatively, a Private Management Company may be appointed subject to approval from the LPA, to maintain the effective operation of any SuDS features on site. Funding for this would be recovered through the mechanism of service charges to the occupiers of the development.
- 7.3.4 Typical maintenance schedules for the proposed SuDS features can be found in Appendix E.



8 SUFFOLK FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY LOCAL DESIGN GUIDE

- 8.1.1 Details of how the proposed Drainage Strategy will deliver on each of the four pillars of SuDS:
 - 8.1.1.1 The Drainage strategy will deliver the four pillars of SuDS design underpinning principles of sustainable drainage, namely: water quantity, water quality, biodiversity and visual amenity. Details are provided within section 4.

8.1.2 Flood Risk Assessment:

8.1.2.1 Section 3 satisfies the flood risk assessment section of the details required within the Suffolk flood risk management strategy local design guide by evaluating the fluvial, tidal, pluvial and groundwater flood risk that may affect the proposed site.

8.1.3 Contour Plan:

8.1.3.1 Contours, flood zones and exceedance flow routes are shown on the drainage strategy drawing BM12457-001. Section 2.3 assesses levels through the topography survey conducted. Section 3.2 and 3.4 of the FRA examine the fluvial and pluvial flood risk to determine flow paths that may be affected by the proposed development with the aim of ensuring that the proposed development remains safe from fluvial, or surface water flooding and minimise a potential flood risk increase in the area

8.1.4 Drainage Strategy/Statement;

- 8.1.4.1 Section 3.6 and 5.2 assesses Anglian water's existing drainage assets within the area of the proposed site to meet the drainage strategy / statement section of the local design guide requirements.
- 8.1.4.2 Section 4 provides Impermeable area calculations that satisfy the drainage strategy / statement section of the local design guide.
- 8.1.4.3 Section 4 describes the proposed SuDS and treatment design that will be used in the drainage strategy to satisfy the drainage strategy section of the local design guide.



- 8.1.4.4 Greenfield discharge rates, storage volume/water depths calculations and long-term storage are provided within section 5, drainage strategy drawing and appendix to comply with preliminary hydraulic section of the local design guide.
- 8.1.4.5 Treatment design in accordance with the Simple Index Approach is detailed in section 4.11
- 8.1.4.6 Adoption and maintenance details are provided in section 7 that satisfy the drainage strategy / statement section of the local design guide.

 Appendix E also describes typical maintenance schedules for the proposed SuDS.

8.1.5 Impermeable Areas Plan

- 8.1.5.1 A masterplan was created to illustrate new impervious surfaces and total areas to meet the impermeable areas plan section of the local design guide.
- 8.1.6 Preliminary Layout Drawings (including landscaping details)
 - 8.1.6.1 To satisfy the Preliminary layout drawing section of the local design guide, the drainage strategy drawing includes:

Existing watercourses to be retained within or abutting the site, 3.5m wide maintenance strip.

Existing blue corridors.

Cross section/plan views of basins; depicting area, side slopes, freeboard and volumes/depths (1:1, 1:30 and 1:100 + climate change allowance for all events).

Discharge location and invert of watercourse (outfall).

Form of SuDS and location on the site.

Main above ground conveyance network.

Maintenance strips/access points.

Legal easements/no planting zones.



8.1.7 Preliminary Site Investigation Report

- 8.1.7.1 Section 2.6 discusses infiltration testing performed to comply with the preliminary site investigation report section of the local design guide.
- 8.1.7.2 A Geo-Environmental desk study performed by Wardell Armstrong in 2017 discovered that no contaminated land entries or notices are identified within 1km of the site.

8.1.8 Preliminary hydraulic calculations

- 8.1.8.1 Greenfield discharge rates, storage volume/water depths calculations and long-term storage are provided within section 5.12, drainage strategy drawing and appendix to comply with preliminary hydraulic section of the local design guide.
- 8.1.9 Evidence of any agreements to discharge to a third-party system (i.e., Anglian Water or adjacent landowner)
 - 8.1.9.1 The surface water discharge is to the watercourse on the site boundary within the client control.
 - 8.1.9.2 Anglian water have been consulted on discharge of foul sewage to the foul sewerage system and correspondence is contained within the Drainage Strategy.

8.1.10 SuDS Maintenance & Management Plan

8.1.10.1 Maintenance details are provided in section 7. Appendix E also describes typical maintenance schedules for the proposed SuDS.



9 CONCLUSIONS

- 9.1.1 The proposed development at Land off School Road, Elmswell will comprise 66 bed Care Home plus 40 assisted living bungalows, management building, a club house, communal areas, carparking, and green spaces.
- 9.1.2 Environment Agency mapping indicates that the majority of the site is located in Flood Zone 1, to the western border there are areas within Flood Zone 2 and Flood Zone 3, however the development will not be situated within this area. Therefore, this site is suitable for development in terms of fluvial flood risk. Surface water flooding of low to High risk is identified around the site but a sequential approach has been used to place the built development outside areas of flood risk. A low risk Surface water flood route that passes through the development is to be conveyed to avoid flood risk to the built development. The site is at a low risk of flooding from all other sources. The flood risk to the development is considered to be low overall.
- 9.1.3 As this 'More Vulnerable' development will be located wholly within Flood Zone 1, the Sequential Test is not required, and the site is therefore sequentially preferable. According to PPG Table 3, 'More Vulnerable' uses are considered appropriate for Flood Zone 1 without the need to apply the Exception Test.
- 9.1.4 To ensure that the development does not have any adverse offsite impacts and does not increase flood risk elsewhere surface water runoff will be sustainably managed and disposed of using SuDS techniques.
- 9.1.5 Infiltration testing carried out at the site determined that the use of SuDS infiltration techniques is not suitable.
- 9.1.6 To replicate pre-developed conditions, the use of 2 attenuation basins with a total storage capacity of 2,179.3m³ are proposed. The basins have been designed to accommodate runoff from all storm events up to and including a 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change storm event.
- 9.1.7 The surface water drainage strategy will consider other SuDS and incorporate SuDS source control measured wherever possible, such as water butts, permeable paving, and swales to provide further enhancement to the water quality of surface water runoff.
- 9.1.8 Due to the residual risk of exceedance flows in excess of the design storm event, it is recommended that finished floor levels of the proposed dwellings in the immediate



- vicinity of the basins are be set a minimum of 300mm above finished ground levels, with all other finished floor levels set 150mm above ground level. In addition, the basins have been designed to provide a minimum freeboard of 300mm in the event of surface water exceedance.
- 9.1.9 It is proposed that the existing public surface water sewer crossing the site is diverted south of the developable area, similarly the proposed privates surface water sewer crossing the site to the north which will serve the development to the northeast will be diverted to accommodate the proposed buildings.
- 9.1.10 Given the topography of the site and the location of the existing public sewers, pumping of foul flows will be required. A developer inquiry has been submitted to Anglian Water to confirm capacity within the existing network to accommodate foul flows from this development. Anglian water confirmed that there is capacity within the network for the flows from this network.
- 9.1.11 In conclusion, it has been demonstrated that the proposals within this report are compliant with NPPF, PPG and local planning policy, taking predicted climate change allowances into account. It is therefore considered that on implementation of this strategy, the development will remain safe from flood risk and can be suitably drained for the development lifetime.

CHRISTCHURCH LAND AND ESTATES (ELMSWELL SOUTH) LIMITED LAND OFF SCHOOL ROAD, ELMSWELL (PHASE 2) FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND DRAINAGE STRATEGY




APPENDICES



APPENDIX A Infiltration Testing Results

	wardoll					—	.:-I D:4	TrialPit	
armstrong				Trial Pit Log			TP08		
Droine				Proi	ect No.		Co-ords: -	Sheet 1 Date	
Projec Name	School Ro	ad, Elms	well	·	11245		Level:	20/12/20	
Locati	on: School Ro	ad, Elms	well	, ,			Dimensions (m):	Scale 1:25	
Client	Christchur	ch Land	and Estates Limi	ted			Depth	Logge	
		les & In Situ					3.30		
Wate Strik	Depth	Туре	Results	(m)	(m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
Water Strike				0.50 1.40 2.60	Level	Legend The second seco	Ploughed dark brown sandy clay TOPSOIL with a flint and occasional brick. MADE GROUND: Dark brown silty clayey sand was gravel and cobbles of brick, concrete and some with wood, brick, tile, concrete, metal and section brick wall. Stiff dark grey silty sandy CLAY with gravel of checkert. End of Pif at 3.30m	with wood, et clay as of	1 3
Rema	rks: Much coll	apse of w	vet soft made gro	ound to 2	.6m Nat	ural clay	noted as stable.		5
			3				30000000	AC	S


Stability:



In-situ Soakaway Test Record – Test No: TP01

SITE	School Road, Elmswell		DATE	20/12/16
CLIENT	Christchurch Land & Estates Limited		JOB NO.	BM11245
	Type of Test:	Pit	NOTES:	
	Width of pit:	0.6m	Dry, mild weather conditions	
	Length of pit:	1.9m		
	Depth of pit:	1.3m		
Standing Water Level Prior to Test:		None		
Depth of Water at T = 0 (below ground level):		0.7m		
Infilled with gravel? (Y/N):		Υ		
	Calculated Soil Infiltration Rate:	Insufficient Infiltration		

Depth (mbgl)	Strata
0 - 0.3	Topsoil.
0.3 - 0.75	Sandy gravelly CLAY.
0.75 - 1.04	Sandy gravelly CLAY.
1.04 – 1.3	Gravelly CLAY.



Water Level Records

Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)		
0	0.70		
0.5	0.73		
1	0.75		
1.5	0.76		
2	0.77		
2.5	0.78		
3	0.80		
3.5	0.80		
4	0.81		
4.5	0.81		
5	0.82		
6	0.82		
7	0.83		
8	0.84		
9	0.85		
10	0.85		


Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)		
12	0.86		
14	0.87		
16	0.88		
18	0.89		
20	0.90		
25	0.91		
30	0.93		
40	0.95		
50	0.97		
60	0.99		
90	1.03		
120	1.06		
150	1.07		
180	1.09		
220	1.10		
End of Test			

Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)

In-situ Soakaway Test Record – Test No: TP02

SITE	School Road, Elmswell		DATE	20/12/16
CLIENT	Christchurch Land & Estates Limited		JOB NO.	BM11245
	Type of Test:	Pit	NOTES:	
	Width of pit:	0.6m	Dry, mild weather conditions	
	Length of pit:	1.7m		
	Depth of pit:	1.7m		
Standing Water Level Prior to Test:		None		
Depth of Water at T = 0 (below ground level):		1.2m		
Infilled with gravel? (Y/N):		Υ		
	Calculated Soil Infiltration Rate:	Insufficient Infiltration		

Depth (mbgl)	Strata
0 - 0.45	Topsoil.
0.45 - 1.08	Clayey gravelly SAND.
1.08 – 1.40	Silty gravelly SAND.
1.40 – 1.7	Gravelly CLAY.



Water Level Records

Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)		
0	1.20		
0.5	1.20		
1	1.20		
1.5	1.20		
2	1.20		
2.5	1.20		
3	1.20		
3.5	1.20		
4	1.20		
4.5	1.20		
5	1.20		
6	1.20		
7	1.20		
8	1.20		
9	1.21		
10	1.21		


Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)		
12	1.21		
14	1.21		
16	1.21		
18	1.21		
20	1.21		
25	1.21		
30	1.21		
40	1.22		
50	1.24		
60	1.25		
90	1.27		
120	1.28		
150	1.29		
180	1.30		
235	1.31		
End of Test			

Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)

In-situ Soakaway Test Record – Test No: TP03

SITE	School Road, Elmswell		DATE	20/12/16
CLIENT	Christchurch Land & Estates Limited		JOB NO.	BM11245
	Type of Test:	Pit	NOTES:	
	Width of pit:	0.6m	Dry, mild weather conditions	
	Length of pit:	1.7m		
	Depth of pit:	1.5m		
Sta	anding Water Level Prior to Test:	None		
Depth of Wa	Depth of Water at T = 0 (below ground level):			
Infilled with gravel? (Y/N):		Υ		
	Calculated Soil Infiltration Rate:	Insufficient Infiltration		

Depth (mbgl)	Strata
0 - 0.4	Topsoil.
0.4 - 0.70	Sandy CLAY.
0.70 - 1.50	Gravelly CLAY.



Water Level Records


Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)
0	0.85
0.5	0.85
1	0.85
1.5	0.85
2	0.85
2.5	0.85
3	0.85
3.5	0.85
4	0.86
4.5	0.86
5	0.86
6	0.86
7	0.86
8	0.86
9	0.86
10	0.86

Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)
12	0.86
14	0.87
16	0.87
18	0.87
20	0.87
25	0.87
30	0.87
40	0.87
50	0.87
60	0.88
90	0.87
120	0.88
150	0.88
180	0.88
220	0.88
End	of Test

Time (mins)	Depth to Water (mbgl)



APPENDIX B Existing Sewers and Drains Drawings



Manhole Reference Easting		uid Type Cover Level Invert Level Depth to Invert	Manhole Reference Easting Northing	Liquid Type Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert	Manhole I	Reference Easting	Northing Liquid Type	Cover Level Invert Level	Depth to Invert	Manhole Reference Easting Northing	Liquid Type Cover Level	Invert Level Depth to Invert
3601 598368 3602 598378 4501 598488 4601 598431	263619 F 263614 F 263579 F 263609 F													
4602 598479 4700 598500 5501 598588 5701 598512	263604 F 263727 F 263539 F 263773 F	69.713 68.738 0.975 70.27 68.71 1.56 68.62 67.7 0.92												
5703 598583 5704 598584 5801 598545 5802 598573	263742 F 263714 F 263801 F 263799 F	68.31 67.38 0.93 68.65 67.72 0.93 68.19 67.56 0.63 68.07 66.96 1.11												
5803 598556 5901 598526 5902 598562 5903 598561	263881 F 263916 F 263911 F 263906 F													
5904 598536 6001 598692 6401 598692 6402 598691	263907 F 264042 F 263488 F 263495 F	67.9 66.11 1.79 67.61 65.89 1.72 68.67 67.01 1.66												
660159860966025986436701598679	263632 F 263613 F 263755 F	69.1 68.18 0.92 68.92 67.41 1.51 67.43 66.11 1.32												
6702 598643 6703 598645 6801 598628 6803 598690	263743 F 263710 F 263894 F 263896 F	68.33 66.59 1.74 												
6804 598690 6805 598633 6901 598646 6902 598602	263885 F 263796 F 263928 F 263937 F	66.69 65.01 1.68 67.4 66.48 0.92 67.83 66.05 1.78 67.89 66.14 1.75												
6903 598631 6904 598687 6905 598705 6906 598699	263929 F 263967 F 263956 F 263940 F													
6907 598700 7001 598737 7101 598744	263923 F 264033 F 263193 F	67.5 65.41 2.09 67.53 65.56 1.97 65.69 64.25 1.44												
7201 598729 7500 598746 7601 598706 7602 598733	263209 F 263589 F 263626 F 263675 F	68.476 67.06 1.416 68.34 66.56 1.78 68.224 66.054 2.17												
7603 598753 7608 598730 7702 598725 7703 598747	263708 F 263715 F 263739 F	67.919 66.202 1.717 												
7801 598732 7802 598746 7803 598741 7804 598738	263888 F 263879 F 263861 F 263862 F	66.88 64.74 2.14 												
7805 598734 7806 598730 7902 598711 7903 598726	263863 F 263864 F 263972 F 263953 F													
2951 598248 3851 598397 3951 598324	263934 S 263878 S 263933 S	52.92 50.39 2.53 63.22 61.72 1.5 55.7 54.41 1.29												
4851 598404 4951 598476 4952 598412 5051 598598	263871 S 263938 S 263932 S 264041 S	64.26 61.77 2.49 66.6 63.5 3.1 64.49 61.32 3.17 67 66.09 0.91												
5852 598517 5853 598552 5854 598557 5951 598590	263886 S 263871 S 263872 S 263987 S	67.11 65.32 1.79 67.11 65.64 1.47 67.11 65.79 1.32 67.33 65.13 2.2												
5952 598578 5953 598583 5954 598522 6051 598607	263916 S 263903 S 263914 S 264043 S	68 63.79 4.21 67.39 63.52 3.87 67.46 65.12 2.34 68 66.19 1.81												
6751598697675259864667535986676851598614	263728 S 263776 S 263761 S 263899 S	67.7 65.07 2.63 67.7 64.8 2.9 67.7 64.86 2.84 - 64.17 -												
6852 598676 6853 598694 6951 598700	263816 S 263889 S 263937 S	66.63 64.32 2.31 - 63.91 - 67.4 64.64 2.76												
6952 598690 7251 598722 7252 598760 7253 598747	263969 S 263217 S 263295 S 263257 S	67.3 65.39 1.91 												
7550 598746 7551 598730 7650 598731 7651 598749	263593 S 263575 S 263674 S 263667 S	68.484 65.531 2.953 68.705 65.578 3.127 68.214 65.28 2.934 67.96 65.325 2.635												
7751 598718 7752 598726 7951 598716 7952 598707	263717 S 263710 S 263976 S 263958 S	67.8 65.17 2.63 67.6 65.23 2.37 67.1 65.06 2.04 67.1 64.72 2.38												
7953 598729 7954 598703 7955 598711	263955 S 263920 S 263904 S	67.1 65.59 1.51 67.5 64.56 2.94 67.7 64.49 3.21												
														Our Ref: 1007442 -
														2 2



APPENDIX C Calculations

Wardell Armstrong LLP		Page 1
2 Devon Way		
Longbridge		
Birmingham B31 2SU		Micro
Date 24/11/2022 09:13	Designed by lalldrick	Designago
File	Checked by	niairiage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2018.1	

FEH Mean Annual Flood


Input


Site Location GB 598000 263600 TL 98000 63600
Area (ha) 354.500
SAAR (mm) 582
URBEXT (2000) 0.0548
SPRHOST 42.090
BFIHOST 0.333
FARL 1.000


Results


QMED Rural (1/s) 917.3 QMED Urban (1/s) 980.3


1. INPUT DATA			2. RESULI	•	3. CHOSSING A MAXIMUM DISC	3. CHOSSING A MAXIMUM DISCHARGE RATE FOR YOU		
Fill in yellow cells with MicroDrainage results								
					Planning Policy states that rates of discharge for the developed si	to will seed to be restricted to those of the		
					predeveloped state (Greenfield). In some instances local policy w			
IH-124 Me	thod		IH-124 Meth	od				
Catchment Area (from MicroDri	50 ha	QBAR	0.0	0 I/s/ha	Confirm Chosen Method Based on Policy	EH		
Input Greenfield Runoff Values for the relevant return Period from	MicroDrainage Paguite							
Table 1: IH-124 Greenfield Runoff Rates	microbraniago ressans	Table 2: IH-	24 Greenfield Runoff Rates		Reason for choosing the above Method			
	Greenfield Runoff		Greenfield Runoff	Greenfield Runoff Rate		More accurate		
Storm Event	Rate (Vs/ha) per	Storm E		(l/s/ha)				
OBAR	50ha	OBAR	50ha 0.0	0.00	<u> </u>			
01		01	0.0	0.00				
02		O2	0.0	0.00	Usually Attenuation storage is designed based on QBAR Greenflet			
Q5		Q5	0.0	0.00	rates are set by the Local Authority or IDB (Internal Drainage Boar	rd).		
Q10		Q10	0.0	0.00				
Q30		Q30	0.0	0.00	_			
Q50		Q50	0.0	0.00	Select Max Design Rate According to Policy Requirements	QBAR		
		Q100	0.0	0.00	_			
Q100								
0100					Max Discharge Rate	2.88 Vs/na		
					Max Discharge Rate	2.88 I/S/ha		
FEH Meth	nod		FEH Metho	d	Max Discharge Rate	2.88 //S/h8		
FEH Meth					Max Discharge Rate When QBAR is lower than 2l/s/hs, 2l/s/hs is used as the maximum			
FEH Meth	917.3 V's pe		2.5	9 Vs/ha	When QBAR is lower than 2l/s/hs, 2l/s/hs is used as the maximum	ı discharge rate unless long term storage is used.		
FEH Meth OMED (from MicroDrainage) Catchment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Catchment Descriptors	917.3 V's pe	chment Area OMED OBAR	2.5		When QBAR is lower than 26's/ha, 20's/ha is used as the maximum If the sitle is too small, flow rates will fall below minimum. Guidan	ı discharge rate unless long term storage is used.		
FEH Meth GMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha		2.5	9 Vs/ha	When OBAR is lower than 245/ha, 2/is/ha is used as the maximum If the site is too small, flow rates will fall below minimum. Guidan included below:	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V's pe	OBAR	2.5 2.8	9 Vs/ha 8 Vs/ha	When OBAR is lower than 26s/ha, 26s/ha is used as the maximum If the site is too small, flow rates will fall below ininimum. Guidan included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past has t	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. Le from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is een to use SVs to avoid throttle sizes from being too		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR	2.5	9 Vs/ha 8 Vs/ha	When OBAR is lower than 245/ha, 2/is/ha is used as the maximum If the site is too small, flow rates will fall below minimum. Guidan included below:	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throttle sizes from being too ment alles are small, this flow rate forth does not		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR	2.5 2.8 enfleid Runoff Rates FEH M	9 Vs/ha 9 Vs/ha ethod	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guldan included below. The general position laten on minimum flow rate in the past has it small and thus create it afol blockspe. However are not develowed really provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dedoption down to a Stom ortice, it is now generally fell that 2 its deposition of them.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too power allows are sufficiently like flow rate forth clean tool CG (Design and Construction Guidance) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth GMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR	2.5 2.8 anfield Runoff Rates FEH M Growth Curve	9 Vs/ha 9 Vs/ha ethod Greenfield Runoff Rate	When OBAR is lower than 26s/ha, 26s/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fall below minimum. Guldan included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past has it small and thus create a risk of blockage. However as most develorely provide the degree of throttling of how that is needed. The blad population down to a Some ortifice, it is now generally felt that 2 for regulator), is now a reportate target for the minimum flow rate.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth GMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	QBAR	2.5 2.8 anfield Runoff Rates FEH M Growth Curve	9 I/s/ha 8 I/s/ha ethod	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guldan included below. The general position laten on minimum flow rate in the past has it small and thus create it afol blockspe. However are not develowed really provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dedoption down to a Stom ortice, it is now generally fell that 2 its deposition of them.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	QBAR Table 3: Gr	2.5 2.8 enfield Runoff Rates FEH M Growth Curve ent Factors (CIRIA Table	9 Vs/ha 9 Vs/ha ethod Greenfield Runoff Rate (Vs/ha)	When OBAR is lower than 26s/ha, 26s/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fall below minimum. Guldan included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past has it small and thus create a risk of blockage. However as most develorely provide the degree of throttling of how that is needed. The blad population down to a Some ortifice, it is now generally felt that 2 for regulator), is now a reportate target for the minimum flow rate.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth GMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	Table 3: Gri Storn Ev	2.5 2.8 anfield Runoff Rates FEH M Growth Curve Factors (CIRIA Table	Vis/ha 8 Vs/ha ethod Greenfield Runoff Rate (Vs/ha) 2.59	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally failt that 2 is regulated, is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	Table 3: Gn Storn Ev OMED GBAR	2.5 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 Growth Curve Factors (CIRIA Table 24.2)	Wisha Wisha Wisha Wisha Wisha Greenfield Ruroff Rele (Visha) 2.59 2.88	When OBAR is lower than 26s/ha, 26s/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fall below minimum. Guldan included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past has it small and thus create a risk of blockage. However as most develorely provide the degree of throttling of how that is needed. The blad population down to a Some ortifice, it is now generally felt that 2 for regulator), is now a reportate target for the minimum flow rate.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	Table 3: Gri Storm Ev GMED GBAR O1	2.5. 2.8 anfield Runoff Rates FEH M Growth Curve Factors (CIRIA Table 24.2) 1.11 0.87	F Vs/ha B Vs/ha Greenfield Runoff Rate (Vs/ha) 2.59 2.88 2.50	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally faith at 21 projulation), is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR Table 3: GN Storn Ev OMED OBAR O1 O2	2.5 2.8 2.8 Growth Curve Factors (CIRIA Table 24.2) 1.11 0.87 0.89	Fisha Visha Visha Visha Greenfield Ruroff Rate (Visha) 2.59 2.88 2.50 2.55	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally faith at 21 projulation), is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth GMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	Table 3: Gri Storm Ev OMED OBAR O1 O2 O5	2.5 2.8 anfield Runoff Rates FEH M Growth Curve Factors (CIRIA Table 24.2)	6 Vs/ha 8 Vs/ha ethod Greenfield Runoff Rate (Vs/ha) 2.59 2.86 2.30 2.50 3.71	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally faith at 21 projulation), is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth GMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR Table 3: GN Storm EV OMED OBAR G1 G2 G5 G1010	2.5 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7	Fishe Vishe Vishe Vishe Vishe Greenfeld Runoff Rate (Vishe) 2.59 2.58 2.50 3.71 4.74	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally faith at 21 projulation), is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
FEH Meth CMED (from MicroDrainage) Calchiment Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors Hydrometric Area (from MicroDrainage - FEH Calchiment Descriptors)	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR Storm Ev OMED OBAR O1 02 05 010 030	2.5 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8	### Company	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally faith at 21 projulation), is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too powned sizes are sample, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidanch) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		
	917.3 V s pe 354.50 ha	OBAR Table 3: GN Storm EV OMED OBAR G1 G2 G5 G1010	2.5 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7	Fishe Vishe Vishe Vishe Vishe Greenfeld Runoff Rate (Vishe) 2.59 2.58 2.50 3.71 4.74	When QBAR is lower than 2fs/ha, 2fs/ha is used as the maximum if the site is too small, flow rates will fail below minimum. Guiden included below: The general position taken on minimum flow rate in the past hes is small and thus create is risk of blockage, belower as most device rately provide the degree of throttling of flow that is needed. The Dadoption down to a Stom orrifice, it is now generally faith at 21 projulation), is now an appropriate target for the minimum flow rate reasonably saked for in special circumstances.	discharge rate unless long term storage is used. ce from uksuds-Wallingford Procedure in this regard is seen to use 50% to world throthe sizes from being too point allies are small, this flow rate forthe does not CG (Design and Construction Guidance) allows (which can be achieved with a vortex control		













APPENDIX D

Developer Enquiry Response





Pre-Planning Assessment Report

Land off School Road, Elmswell

InFlow Reference: PPE-0160329

Assessment Type: Used Water

Report published: 13/01/2023







Thank you for submitting a pre-planning enquiry.

This has been produced for Wardell Armstrong LLP.

Your reference number is PPE-0160329.

This report can be submitted as a drainage strategy for the development should it seek planning permission.

If you have any questions upon receipt of this report, you can submit a further question via InFlow. Alternatively, please contact the Planning & Capacity team on 07929 786 955 or email planningliaison@anglianwater.co.uk

Section 1 - Proposed development

The response within this report has been based on the following information which was submitted as part of your application:

List of planned developments	3
Type of development	No. Of units
Residential institution	1
Dwellings	39

The anticipated residential build rate is:

Year	Y1
Build rate	40

Development type: Greenfield

Planning application status: Unknown

Site grid reference number: TL9829063981

The comments contained within this report relate to the public water mains and sewers indicated on our records.

Your attention is drawn to the disclaimer in the useful information section of this report.

Section 2 - Assets affected

Our records indicate that we have the following types of assets within or overlapping the boundary of your development site as listed in the table below.

Additionally, it is highly recommended that you carry out a thorough investigation of your proposed working area to establish whether any unmapped public or private sewers and lateral drains are in existence. We are unable to permit development either over or within the easement strip without our prior consent. The extent of the easement is provided in the table below.

Please be aware that the existing water mains/public sewers should be located in highway or open space and not in private gardens. This is to ensure available access for any future maintenance and repair, and this should be taken into consideration when planning your site layout.

Water and Used water easement information		
Asset type	Pipe size (mm)	Total easement required (m)
Sewer mains	300	3.00 m either side of the centre line

If it is not possible to avoid our assets then these may need to be diverted in accordance with Section 185 of the Water Industry Act (1991). You will need to make a formal application if you would like a diversion to be considered.

Due to the private sewer transfer in October 2011 many newly adopted public used water assets and their history are not indicated on our records. You also need to be aware that your development site may contain private water mains, drains or other assets not shown on our records. These are private assets and not the responsibility of Anglian Water but that of the landowner.

Section 3 - Water recycling services

In examining the used water system we assess the ability for your site to connect to the public sewerage network without causing a detriment to the operation of the system. We also assess the receiving water recycling centre and determine whether the water recycling centre can cope with the increased flow and effluent quality arising from your development.

Water recycling centre

The foul drainage from the proposed development is in the catchment of Elmswell Water Recycling Centre, which currently does not have capacity to treat the flows from your development site.

Anglian Water are obligated to accept the foul flows from your development with the benefit of planning consent and would therefore take the necessary steps to ensure that there is sufficient treatment capacity should the planning authority grant planning permission.

Used water network

Our assessment has been based on development flows connecting to the nearest foul water sewer of the same size or greater pipe diameter to that required to drain the site. The infrastructure to convey foul water flows to the receiving sewerage network is assumed to be the responsibility of the developer. Conveyance to the connection point is considered as Onsite Work and includes all work carried out upstream from of the point of connection, including making the connection to our existing network. This connection point has been determined in reference to the calculated discharge flow and on this basis, a 150mm internal diameter pipe is required to drain the development site. The nearest practicable connection is to the 150mm diameter sewer at downstream of manhole 5901 in School Road at National Grid Reference NGR TL 98538 63914. Anglian Water has assessed the impact of a pumped conveyance from the planned development to the public foul sewerage network and we can confirm that this connection is acceptable as the foul sewerage system, at present, has available capacity for your site. In line with Sewers for Adoption, the pumped discharge will need to connect via an intermediate manhole and at least 5 metres of an appropriately sized gravity sewer. The pump rate and configuration of the connection will be determined with your detailed design. You should submit this detail with your Section 106 new connection application. Please note that Anglian Water will request a suitably worded condition at planning application stage to ensure this strategy is implemented to mitigate the risk of flooding.

It is assumed that the developer will provide the necessary infrastructure to convey flows from the site to the network. Consequently, this report does not include any costs for the conveyance of flows.

Surface water disposal

You have indicated on your application form that your method of surface water drainage is via SuDS. If the developer wishes Anglian Water to be the adopting body for all or part of the proposed SuDS scheme the Design and Construction Guidance must be followed. We would recommend the applicant contact us at the earliest opportunity to discuss your SuDS design via a Pre-Design Strategic Assessment (PDSA). The Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) are a statutory consultee for all major development and should be consulted as early as possible to ensure the proposed drainage system meets with minimum operational standards and is beneficial for all concerned organisations and individuals. We promote the use of SuDS as a sustainable and natural way of controlling surface water run-off. We please find below our SuDS website link for further information. https://www.anglianwater.co.uk/developers/drainage-services/sustainable-drainage-systems/

As you may be aware, Anglian Water will consider the adoption of SuDs provided that they meet the criteria outline in our SuDs adoption manual. This can be found on our website. We will adopt features located in public open space that are designed and constructed, in conjunction with the Local Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA), to the criteria within our SuDs adoption manual. Specifically, developers must be able to demonstrate:

- 1. Effective upstream source control,
- 2. Effective exceedance design, and
- 3. Effective maintenance schedule demonstrating than the assets can be maintained both now and in the future with adequate access.

If you wish to look at the adoption of any SuDs then an expression of interest form can be found on our website

As the proposed method of surface water disposal is not relevant to Anglian Water; we suggest that you contact the relevant Local Authority, Lead Local Flood Authority, the Environment Agency or the Internal Drainage Board, as appropriate.

Trade Effluent

We note that you do not have any trade effluent requirements. Should this be required in the future you will need our written formal consent. This is in accordance with Section 118 of the Water Industry Act (1991).

Used Water Budget Costs

Your development site will be required to pay an Infrastructure charge for each new property connecting to the public water and sewerage network that benefits from Full planning permission. The infrastructure charge replaces the zonal charge as previously identified.

You will be required to pay an infrastructure charge upon connection for each new plot on your development site. The infrastructure charge are types of charges set out in Section 146(2) of the Water Industry Act 1991.

The charge should be paid by anyone who wishes to build or develop a property and is payable upon request of connection.

• The Infrastructure Charge is based on the cost of any reinforcement and upgrades to our existing network ("Network Reinforcements"), whether designed to address strategic or local capacity issues. For more information on our Infrastructure Charge, please see the 'Useful Information' section of this report.

Infrastructure charges are raised on a standard basis of one charge per new connection (one for water and one for sewerage).

The Water Recycling Infrastructure charge for your dwellings is:

Infrastructure charge	Number of units	Total
£ 490	39	£19,110.00

Please note that you should also budget for infrastructure charges on non-household premises where applicable and these will be calculated according to the number and type of water fittings in the premises. This is called the "relevant multiplier" method of calculating the charge and the relevant multiplier will be applied to the figures set out in our 2022-23 Developer Charging Arrangements to arrive at the amount payable. Details of the relevant multiplier for each fitting can be found on our website.

Section 4 - Map of Proposed Point of Connection(s)



Figure 1: Showing your water recycling foul point of connection

Section 5 - Useful information

Water Industry Act - Key used water sections

Section 98:

This provides you with the right to requisition a new public sewer. The new public sewer can be constructed by Anglian Water on your behalf. Alternatively, you can construct the sewer yourself under section 30 of the Anglian Water Authority Act 1977.

Section 102:

This provides you with the right to have an existing sewerage asset vested by us. It is your responsibility to bring the infrastructure to an adoptable condition ahead of the asset being vested.

Section 104:

This provides you with the right to have a design technically vetted and an agreement reached that will see us adopt your assets following their satisfactory construction and connection to the public sewer.

Section 106:

This provides you with the right to have your constructed sewer connected to the public sewer.

Section 185

This provides you with the right to have a public sewerage asset diverted.

Details on how to make a formal application for a new sewer, new connection or diversion are available on our website or via our Development Services team on 0345 60 66 087.

Sustainable drainage systems

Many existing urban drainage systems can cause problems of flooding, pollution or damage to the environment and are not resilient to climate change in the long term.

Our preferred method of surface water disposal is through the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems or SuDS.

SuDS are a range of techniques that aim to mimic the way surface water drains in natural systems within urban areas. For more information on SuDS, please visit our website

We recommend that you contact the Local Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) for your site to discuss your application.

Private sewer transfers

Sewers and lateral drains connected to the public sewer on the 1 July 2011 transferred into Water Company ownership on the 1 October 2011. This follows the implementation of the Floods and Water Management Act (FWMA). This included sewers and lateral drains that were subject to an existing Section 104 Adoption Agreement and those that were not. There were exemptions and the main non-transferable assets were as follows:

Surface water sewers and lateral drains that do not discharge to the public sewer, e.g. those that discharged to a watercourse.

Foul sewers and lateral drains that discharged to a privately owned sewage treatment/collection facility.

Pumping stations and rising mains will transfer between 1 October 2011 and 1 October 2016.

The implementation of Section 42 of the FWMA will ensure that future private sewers will not be created. It is anticipated that all new sewer applications will need to have an approved section 104 application ahead of a section 106 connection.

It is anticipated that all new sewer applications will need to have an approved Section 104 application ahead of a Section 106 connection

Encroachment

Anglian Water operates a risk based approach to development encroaching close to our used water infrastructure. We assess the issue of encroachment if you are planning to build within 400 metres of a water recycling centre or, within 15 metres to 100 metres of a pumping station. We have more information available on our website

Locating our assets

Maps detailing the location of our water and used water infrastructure including both underground assets and above ground assets such as pumping stations and recycling centres are available from digdat

All requests from members of the public or non-statutory bodies for maps showing the location of our assets will be subject to an appropriate administrative charge.

We have more information on our website

Charging arrangements

Our charging arrangements and summary for this year's water and used water connection and infrastructure charges can be found on our website

Section 6 - Disclaimer

The information provided in this report is based on data currently held by Anglian Water Services Limited ('Anglian Water') or provided by a third party. Accordingly, the information in this report is provided with no guarantee of accuracy, timeliness, completeness and is without indemnity or warranty of any kind (express or implied).

This report should not be considered in isolation and does not nullify the need for the enquirer to make additional appropriate searches, inspections and enquiries. Anglian Water supports the plan led approach to sustainable development that is set out in the National Planning Policy Framework ('NPPF') and any infrastructure needs identified in this report must be considered in the context of current, adopted and/or emerging local plans. Where local plans are absent, silent or have expired these needs should be considered against the definition of sustainability holistically as set out in the NPPF.

Whilst the information in this report is based on the presumption that proposed development obtains planning permission, nothing in this report confirms that planning permission will be granted or that Anglian Water will be bound to carry out the works/proposals contained within this report.

No liability whatsoever, including liability for negligence is accepted by Anglian Water or its partners, employees or agents, for any error or omission, or for the results obtained from the use of this report and/or its content.

Furthermore, in no event will any of those parties be liable to the applicant or any third party for any decision made or action taken as a result of reliance on this report.

This report is valid from the date issued and the enquirer is advised to resubmit their request for an up to date report should there be a delay in submitting any subsequent application for water supply/sewer connection(s). Our pre-planning reports are valid for 12 months, however please note Anglian Water cannot reserve capacity and available capacity in our network can be reduced at any time due to increased requirements from existing businesses and houses as well as from new housing and new commercial developments.



APPENDIX E Typical Maintenance Schedules

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS): Maintenance Schedule

Gullies, Catchpits, Manholes, Pipes and Drainage Channels

Regular Maintenance		
Monthly	 Inspect all inlets, outlet and chambers to ensure they are in good condition, free from blockage and operating as designed. If required, take remedial action (for 3 months following installation) 	
Six Monthly	 Inspect all inlets, outlet, and chambers to ensure they are in good condition, free from blockage and operating as designed. If required, take remedial action 	
Annually	Not applicable	
As Required	 Remove sediment from catchpit manholes Where sediment has accumulated into manholes and pipes jet the associated pipes. Where significant accumulation of silt or evidence of defects are present undertake CCTV survey of pipe and carry out remedial repairs as required. 	
Remedial Actions: Significant storms may cause significant damage to SuDS. As such, a number of actions may be required following such events		
Following all significant storm events	 Inspect and carry out essential recovery works to return the feature to full working order 	

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS): Maintenance Schedule

Detention Basin

Regular Maintenance	
Monthly	 Litter and debris removal Mow grasses (where required) and remove resultant clippings Remove nuisance and invasive vegetation (for 12 months following installation) Inspect/check all inlets, outlets, surface and overflows (where required) to ensure that they are in good condition, free from blockages and operating as designed. Take action where required
Six Monthly	Remove nuisance and invasive vegetation
Annually	 Remove all dead growth prior to the start of growing season Remove sediment from inlets, outlet and forebay Manage wetland plants, where required Inspect and document the presence of wildlife Re-seed areas of poor vegetation growth. Alter plant types to better suit conditions, where required
As Required	 Prune and trim trees and remove cuttings. Remove sediment from forebay, when 50% full and from micropools if volume reduced by more than 25% Repair erosion or other damage by re-turfing or reseeding Re-level uneven surfaces and reinstate design levels (typically once every 60 month period) Remove and dispose of oils or petrol residues using safe standard practices
Remedial Actions: Significant storms may cause significant damage to SuDS. As such, a number of actions may be required following such events	
Following all significant storm events	 Inspect and carry out essential recovery works to return the feature to full working order

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS):

Maintenance Schedule

Swale

Regular Maintenance		
Monthly	 Litter and debris removal Mow grasses (where required) and remove resultant clippings (during growing season only) Remove nuisance and invasive vegetation (for 12 months following installation) Inspect/check all inlets, outlets, surface and overflows (where required) to ensure that they are in good condition, free from blockages and operating as designed. Take action where required 	
Six Monthly	Remove nuisance and invasive vegetation	
Annually	 Check for poor vegetation growth due to lack of sunlight or dropping of leaf litter, and cut back adjacent vegetation where required Re-seed areas of poor vegetation growth. Alter plant types to better suit conditions, where required Inspect and document the presence of wildlife 	
As Required	 Repair erosion or other damage by re-turfing or reseeding Re-level uneven surfaces and reinstate design levels (typically every 60 month period) Scarify and spike topsoil layer to improve infiltration performance, break up silt deposits and prevent compaction of the soil surface where required (typically every 60 month period) Remove build-up of sediment on upstream graveltrench, flow spreader or at top of filter strip, where required Remove and dispose of oils or petrol residues using safestandard practices 	
Remedial Actions: Significant storms may cause significant damage to SuDS. As such, a number of actions may be required following such events		
Following all significant storm events	 Inspect and carry out essential recovery works to return the feature to full working order 	

CHRISTCHURCH LAND AND ESTATES (ELMSWELL SOUTH) LIMITED LAND OFF SCHOOL ROAD, ELMSWELL (PHASE 2) FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND DRAINAGE STRATEGY



DRAWINGS



