

BMSDC Grants – Communities Scoring Matrix – Tye Lane Solar Farm Community Benefit Fund



Type of Project	
Applicant	
District	
Parish	
Postcode (group, not contact)	
Officer Assessing	
Project/costs covered	
Amount requested	£
Level of surplus achieved in previous financial year	£
Level of unrestricted reserves (request if not included)	£
Is the organisation eligible for funding	Yes/No
If required, does the project have planning permission?	Yes/No
Three key policies are in place	Yes/No (<i>conditional offer if No</i>)

A – Is it an eligible project?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicants can only make one application to the Tye Lane Solar Farm Community Benefit Fund per group of beneficiaries they support New project activities are not required. Organisations can apply once for up to £5,000 	
Yes	
No	
Is the project Capital or Revenue?	

B – Project (7)	
A project is not clearly outlined.	0
A project is described but not in detail.	2
A clear and cohesive project has been detailed.	4

BMSDC Grants – Communities



C – Community Need Identified (9)	
No clear or limited need articulated or addressed, and there is no or limited evidence of wider community involvement.	0
Some need has been articulated or addressed, anecdotally. There is some evidence of wider community involvement.	3
A clearly understood need has been identified, articulated and addressed. There is clear evidence of wider community involvement.	6
A clearly understood need has been articulated, addressed, and evidenced through surveys, consultation or feedback provided. There is clear evidence of wider community involvement.	9

D – Project Beneficiaries and Community Benefit (10)	
The applicant has provided no or limited details of what benefits the project will deliver to their community.	0
The applicant has provided a description of what benefits the project will deliver to their community, and the number of beneficiaries.	3
The applicant has provided a detailed description of what benefits the project will deliver to their community, and the number of beneficiaries.	6
The applicant has provided a comprehensive and detailed description of what benefits the project will deliver to their community as well as a detailed breakdown of beneficiaries/service users.	9

E – Priorities (12)	
The applicant does not reference how the application meets the Fund's priorities.	0
The applicant provides limited details of how the application meets the Fund's priorities.	2
The application clearly and comprehensively meets the Fund's priorities.	4

F – Outcomes and Indicators (13)	
None or limited outcomes and indicators provided, or it is unclear how the outcomes identified will provide benefit.	0
Outcomes are identified with alignment to political priorities and project activities. Indicators are not specific enough to be measurable.	2
Outcomes and indicators are well written with alignment to political priorities and project activities, they are specific enough to be observable and measurable and	4

BMSDC Grants – Communities



capable of being assessed. They are broad enough so as not to limit flexibility in achieving them and they are realistic given available time and resources.	
--	--

G – Financial (15)	
It is unclear how the funding will be used and no breakdown of costs have been provided.	0
Total figures and costs have been provided, but no breakdown.	2
Total figures and costs have been provided with a breakdown of costs.	4
Total figures and costs have been provided with a breakdown of costs, and it is clear how they have been calculated	6

H – Funding Package (16)	
It is unclear how the project will be funded.	0
A funding package has been detailed but not fully secured.	2
The funding package has been detailed and is fully secured (if funding other than Tye Lane Solar Farm Community Benefit Fund is required/sought).	4

J – Additional Documentation (20)	
Have accounts been provided?	
If applicable, have quotes been provided?	
Have any additional required documents been provided?	
Officer Comments:	

K - Quotes (Capital Only) (19.3)	
How many quotes have been sourced?	
If not two, what is the reasoning?	
Officer Comments:	

BMSDC Grants – Communities



--	--

L – Timeline (14)	
Stated project start date:	
Is this target evidenced and achievable (YES or NO)	
Officer Comments:	

TOTAL SCORE (out of 40)	
Has the application scored above 50%?	
Conclusion and Officer Comments (inc. reserves)	